

# 1

## Introduction

### ■ 1.1 The Genesis of Polymer Testing as a Science

The development of polymer testing is intimately involved with the economic rise of the polymer industry. The spectacular progress that has taken place in macromolecular chemistry since the 1920s owes much to the efforts of the polymer chemists *Hermann Staudinger* und *Karl Ziegler*. The awareness of how to use macromolecules as materials is based on research into methods of synthesizing both to produce new monomers and polymers, as well as to introduce new catalyst systems. This in turn necessitated systematic basic research to uncover the fundamental principles affecting polymer synthesis and structure, on the one hand, and microscopic structure and macroscopic properties, on the other. Uncovering the interrelationships between microstructure and macroscopic properties, especially the mechanical and thermal properties, is of course one of the fundamental tasks of *polymer testing*.

The worldwide boom in the plastic industry began in the 1950s when the industry reduced costs and raised profitability by shifting to petroleum for its raw material base. Today, plastics are finding applications in almost all areas of human activity. Despite the considerable problems involved in disposing and recycling of plastics, the area of applications for this group of materials continues to expand. The worldwide increase in the production of plastics and the range of monomers being utilized has altered the economic significance of these materials to such a degree that historians are beginning to speak of a dawning “Age of Polymers”. The image of plastics, at first considered as a “substitute material”, an alternative for the “real thing”, is now that of an innovative material for economically indispensable structural and functional applications. Without the wide range of modern polymer materials and their composites, the progress made in microelectronics, microsystem technology and even nanotechnology would be quite unthinkable.

According to a 2004 European study entitled “Plastics – Pathmaker of Progress”, worldwide production of plastics surpassed the production of crude steel in terms of volume for the first time at the end of the 1980s due to the exceptionally dynamic growth rate of plastic materials.

By 2017, worldwide production of crude steel amounted to  $217 \times 10^9 \text{ dm}^3$ , far less than the  $348 \times 10^9 \text{ dm}^3$  achieved by plastics. Since 8 kg of crude steel and 1 kg of plastics both correspond to  $1 \text{ dm}^3$  of each, one can clarify the relationship between these quantities by a simple conversion. The result is approx.  $1,691 \times 10^6 \text{ t}$  of crude steel produced and  $348 \times 10^6 \text{ t}$  of plastics.

The worldwide consumption for all “commodities”, such as PE-HD, PE-LD/LLD, PP, PVC and PS shows annual growth rates continuously increasing. For several “engineering plastics”, such as PC and especially PET, average growth rates are above the ordinary. Some years ago, PP took over the role of market leader for bulk plastics. At the same time, the wide variety of application areas, especially for bottles in the food and pharmaceutical industries, will lead to a high increase in PET consumption. The steep production increase of PET will transform this material from a “technical” to a “standard” or “commodity” polymer. In this age of misleading use of technical terms, society’s growing acceptance of this class of materials is evidenced, for example, by the largely proper use of the designation “PET bottle”.

The main application area for innovative materials lies in the automotive industry. According to the results of a market research study of various automotive manufacturers, the trend in materials’ use in automotive manufacturing in the last years will show the following changes:

- Steel: 10 % reduction
- Aluminum: 100 % increase
- Plastics: 5 % increase
- Glass: constant at 2 %

The mass share of plastics will then be as high as 20 %. The trends in auto manufacture continue toward the so-called hybrid applications, i. e., metal parts encapsulated by plastics, metal-plastics sandwich structures, components with steel or plastic cores in doors and hoods, new modules of component integration and material combinations (multi-component injection molding).

Despite the undoubted advantages of polymer materials, this group of materials has been the subject of public discussion in recent years due to ecological aspects, especially in packaging applications. Worldwide, 5 to 13 million tonnes of plastics land in the sea every year – that is 1.5 % to 4 % of the world’s plastics production. As in other areas, the market for plastics applications is primarily determined by economic competition, which often hinders the further development of processes. Country-specific, region-specific and even non-existent collection systems have a


negative impact. Sorting is not always in line with the complexity of the products, and their technical possibilities are often not fully exploited. The recyclate is often a product of inferior quality; high-quality regranulate is almost as expensive as virgin material, i.e. economic incentives are often lacking. Nevertheless, a view solely based on the recyclability of materials is one-sided, the complete material cycle must be considered. Since a holistic ecological assessment also takes production into account, comparisons between different products may well lead to constellations where a higher ecological benefit is associated with a lower recyclability. This is the case, for example, if significantly fewer resources are used in the production of a product and the poorer recyclability is accepted in return. The last aspect to be mentioned at this point is the participation fees. These are levied on a mass basis, i.e. there is already a strong incentive to reduce weight. This remains dominant, even if recyclability becomes a victim. For plastics applications, as for all other material applications, the responsible use of resources must be the top priority.

Beginning in the 1950s, parallel to the enormous worldwide growth in production, the clamor grew ever louder for scientific parameter capable of quantifying the relationship between microstructure and macroscopic properties. The efficient use of materials requires the complete utilization of material properties which, in turn, necessitates the development of adequate, meaningful measuring and testing procedures. This required improvements in the informative content of the methods which only continuously advancing electronic technology could provide. The classic testing procedure, e.g., for determining hardness and toughness of plastics, developed into the instrumented hardness test methods and the instrumented toughness test methods, e.g., the instrumented *Charpy* impact test. All instrumented methods have one aim in common: to electronically acquire the constituents of deformation – force and elongation and/or deflection – with the highest possible degree of precision, and to utilize the improved information content for a differentiated evaluation of material behavior. These experimental methods for providing structurally sensitive material parameters have only been widely developed within the last twenty years. In many cases, it is not possible to adopt standard processes for the testing of metals, since the measurement ranges for directly measured characteristics may differ by ranges of magnitude, i.e., the demands placed on the required measurement techniques are correspondingly different.

For some time, there was no generally accepted term for this specific discipline in the literature, even though the subject matter is defined by its content. In its early years, presentations began with detailed descriptions of the structure of plastics and polymer processing, before these two developed into individual scientific disciplines. Today, the concept of *polymer testing* has found general acceptance, just as the testing of plastics and plastic components has gained great significance in the plastics industry. In the last 35 years, a plethora of empirically acquired facts and

experience has been collected that are being viewed, as much as possible, from a uniform perspective based on the insights of material science. Theoretical assumptions are no longer made before they have been confirmed by experimental results. Like all other technical scientific disciplines, *polymer testing* has a decidedly interdisciplinary character (see Figure 1.1). Figure 1.1 clearly shows how polymer testing functions to provide a link between the *synthesis* and *processing* of polymers, on the one hand, and between the *characterization/analytcs* of polymers and their *morphology/micromechanics*, on the other. Although the terms plastics and polymers are often used synonymously. Figure 1.1. follows corresponding usage.

Methods for measuring fracture behavior are necessary to satisfy growing demands for reliability, safety and service life of machines, plants and components, as well as to eliminate breakage as one of the most common material-related causes for plastics failure. This involves using the methods of *engineering fracture mechanics*. The current level of research on this is provided, for example, in Chapter 5 for plastics and in Chapter 10 for composite materials. Within the polymer sciences, the independent areas of *polymer material science* and *plastics engineering* have found secure niches, as can be ascertained from the course schedules at institutions offering degrees in polymer technology, as well as from the literature references at the end of this chapter. Designing with polymers is the subject matter of *plastics engineering*, whereby the designer of polymer-based products is increasingly faced with the task of selecting dimensions and shape according to data derived from research in material science.



**Figure 1.1** The interdisciplinary character of polymer testing

Also increasing in importance are the disciplines of *quality assurance* and *quality management*, whereby the totality of quality-relevant activities falls under quality management. One essential element is the quality test which itself can take on

many forms. One important, but technically hard to achieve step consists in integrating the polymer testing procedures in-line into each particular production process with the goal of assuring, as much as possible, that quality requirements are being fulfilled by the product and the process. *Polymer diagnostics/failure analysis* involves combining the various methods for investigating material composition (*analytics*), structural make-up, mechanical, thermal, electrical and optical properties, as well as environmental influences. Chapter 9 presents the areas of emphasis for hybrid methods of polymer diagnostics, by which one can understand the in-situ combination of mechanical and fracture-mechanical experiments with non-destructive testing methods, such as ultrasonic testing analysis, thermography or laser extensometry. The goal remains to increase the informational value of classic testing methods and to derive methods for quantifying states of damage and their thresholds.

## ■ 1.2 Factors Influencing Data Acquisition

Processing has a substantial effect on the structural formation in plastics and the properties resulting from it. This pronounced sensitivity to processing is a fundamental reason for the limited value of available parameter on polymers. Therefore, the internal structure of polymeric solids and the properties describing them are not solely dependent on their chemical composition.

The problems involved in acquiring characteristic values arise from the fact that we do not ascertain the properties of the material (molding compound) to be tested, but the properties of specimens made from that material under conditions determined by the processing method. Thus, there is no assurance that values acquired from specimens or components with specified geometries can be applied to components with different geometries due to various internal conditions. The influencing factors are listed extensively in Chapter 2, The Production of Specimens. Besides the molding compounds themselves, the most important of these include factors involved in producing specimens, in specimen geometry and testing conditions. The most significant factors for plastics are testing temperature, test rate and environmental factors, the simplest being humidity. Due to the large number of factors influencing the test results, values on polymers are reproducible only if they are acquired on the basis of comparable chemical and physical structure, similar geometric conditions and the same testing methods. Thus, values must always be acquired on a structural basis. The uniqueness lies in the fact that these influencing factors do not work individually, but one has to assume a complex functionality among the parameters listed. Consequently, both the quantitative measurement of

all individual marginal conditions as well as their complex interactions are significant for the overall evaluation of properties. Evaluation makes use of values based on standardized test methods that guarantee comparability and thus ensure that products are suitably classified. Knowledge of fundamental scientific relations in the formation of properties and their description in terms of values is always tied to a hierarchical perspective on molecular structure and morphogenesis during processing.

Innovative testing methods based on scientific discoveries with theoretical backgrounds are often better suited for evaluating and optimizing polymers than methods used in industrial testing practice, i.e., based on empirical experience. One such example is the replacement of the conventional *Charpy V*-notch impact test (see Section 4.4) by the instrumented impact test that provides geometry-independent fracture-mechanical values (cf. Section 5.4.2).

In summary, it can be stated that the fundamental task of polymer testing lies in clarifying the relations between microstructure and the physical-technical properties of polymers while taking their physical and chemical description into consideration.

## ■ 1.3 Classification of Polymer Testing Methods

As in general material testing, several content-oriented perspectives can be given for classifying the experimental methods of polymer testing. In polymer testing, the distinction between destructive and non-destructive is again the primary criterion of classification. Potential categories for classifying destructive polymer testing include:

- Rate of test procedure
  - Static, quasi-static and dynamic load
- Type of load
  - Tensile, compression, bending, torsion and shear load
  - Uni- and biaxial or multiaxial load
- Type of material to be investigated
  - Polymers and fiber composite materials
- Type of physical property
  - Thermal, optical, electrical and dielectrical properties.

In addition, individual mechanical material testing methods have distinctive features that simplify the characterization of the various methods in use. For example in hardness testing, the methods of acquiring the indentation process or magnitude of indentation and/or testing force and indentation depth serve as criteria by which we can distinguish between conventional and instrumented hardness testing, and between macro-, micro- and nanohardness.

In the area of *mechanical material testing*, the rate of the test procedure is used as a classifying criterion. In static testing procedures, it is not assumed that various testing rates affect the test results, whereas in quasi-static testing, a slowly increasing testing force is a rate-related influence. Compared to quasi-static loading, results of dynamic testing are expected to be influenced considerably by the test rate. Therefore, we distinguish between the following test methods:

- Static test methods,
- Quasi-static test methods, and
- Dynamic test methods (shock and impact type, fatigue).

Within the test rate ranges, tests can be divided according to the type of load involved:

- Tensile test,
- Compression test,
- Bending test.

Besides these load types commonly applied in quasi-static loading, torsion and shear tests are also performed.

Independently of the particular type of load, the differences in testing methods between polymers and composite materials, as well the depth of knowledge to be presented in this book, require that the testing of composite materials be presented separately (see Chapter 10).

The special discussion of environmental stress cracking resistance in Chapter 7 and the evaluation of toughness of polymers using fracture-mechanical methods in Chapter 5 can be classified as mechanical material testing.

An essential additional criterion in the testing of fiber composite materials is the orientation of fibers relative to the main direction of load. The anisotropy of these materials requires special mechanical testing methods that are often defined according to the specimens developed for them. Some examples of these are the:

- Boeing compression test method,
- Celanese test method,
- IITRI test method,
- Two- and three-rail shear test,

- *Iosipescu* thrust test, and the
- Plate-twist thrust test.

The peculiarities in composite structure and complex loads are reflected in the fracture-mechanical testing of composites that require evaluation of the crack growth behavior with regard to their interlaminar fracture modes (mode I, mode II and mixed mode). To do so, special specimens are defined as criteria while they also provide a foundation for a special method whose goal is to provide geometry-independent fracture-mechanical material parameters. Such parameters have proven to be of assistance for optimizing the toughness of fiber composite materials and are a prerequisite for dimensioning products made from fiber composite materials.

## ■ 1.4 Standards and Regulatory Codes for Polymer Testing

Globalization and expansion of markets into new economic zones, demands for shorter development times, generally shorter life-service cycles of products and requirements resulting from the increasing technological convergence are all having their effect on the trends in setting national and international standards:

- Standards and standardization become market- and need-oriented to achieve strategic and economic advantages in international competition.
- Standards and standardization are strategic instruments for supporting the success of the economy and society.
- Standards and standardization reduce the need for governmental regulation.
- Standards and standardization, as well as standards committees, support technological convergence.

In order to satisfy these demands, the Standards Committee on Material Testing (NMP) within the DIN organization (German Institute of Industrial Standards) is working on a new strategy for formulating standards. In order to ensure repeatability and reproducibility of procedures used in material testing, and thus in polymer testing, standards for the performing of tests and requirements for test equipment and specimens were set. In fulfillment of the fundamental principles for establishing standards laid down in DIN 820-1 to 4, the standards to be established shall support efficiency and quality assurance in business, technology, science and administration. Thus, standards serve the safety of people, equipment, technologies and processes, on the one hand, while they provide a means for targeting improvements in quality in all areas of life and business. Such standards have by no means



the force of law, but rather provide all users with “accepted rules of technology”. Their use makes it easier to compare product properties or production methods. The basis for comparison, however, is the ability of all members of the standards community to meet the technical and scientific demands of the standards. The results of the work on standards in the DIN are the national standards published under the association logo DIN. In consequence of the harmonization of international (ISO) and European (EN) standards, the DIN EN, DIN ISO and DIN EN ISO standards also enjoy the status of national standards. In addition to these standards, various manufacturers and user organizations publish guidelines or process recommendations for plastics producers which amount to defined, but not standard supplements. In this connection, considerable importance is attached to automotive manufacturers’ quality requirements for original equipment manufacturers (e. g., GME: General Motors Specification, DBL: Daimler Benz Specification, BMW N: Bavarian Motor Works Specification) that amount to binding instructions for their suppliers.

The ASTM (American Society of Testing and Materials) standards, which include standard test methods and procedures for testing polymers and composites (see Chapter 10) are widely spread in the American speech area. ASTM International is a non-profit organization founded in 1898. It provides a global forum for the development and publication of standards and test methods. Its membership is comprised of producers, users, consumers, and representatives of government and academia. ASTM International provides standards that are accepted and used in research and development, product testing, and quality systems. Within ASTM, the primary responsibility for plastics lies with a committee designated for this purpose. This committee, called D-20 on Plastics, is responsible for more than 500 standard test methods, recommended practices, and guides. One of the key components of D-20 is the continuous review and updating of existing documents and the authoring of new protocols that are necessary. It has to be pointed out that there are a number of fundamental differences between ASTM and ISO standards especially referring to specimen geometry and dimensions in addition to test condition requirements. Due to the large number of factors influencing the test results of polymers determined with different standards are not comparable.

Test laboratories can gain what amounts to formal recognition of their competency and admission to perform clearly defined tests on the basis of standards or verified test specifications if they obtain accreditation by their national accreditation organization (e. g., the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in the US).

ISO/IEC standard 17025 states the criteria for judging organizational structure, employment of test personnel and technical facilities, preparation of test reports, as well as work procedures for testing and calibrating laboratories. Fulfillment of these standards requirements constitutes recognition for a quality management system according to ISO 9001 or 9002, whereby accreditation according to ISO/IEC

17025 is not the equivalent of certification according to ISO 9001 or 9002. Together with the introduction of this standard, the determination of measuring uncertainty is advanced as a main criterion for the application of test results in quality assurance and design.

Testing laboratories whose competency has been certified in one domain according to ISO/IEC 17025 and that have their own test standards based on wide experience, can have these judged by their national agencies within the framework of accreditation. Such special testing procedures as the MPK procedures for the instrumented notched impact test (MPK-ICIT) and the instrumented free-falling dart test (MPK-IFDT) are used to illustrate data acquisition in Chapters 4 and 5.

The basic activities in the areas of material or polymer testing can best be described by the concepts of measurement and testing. Measurement is an experimental procedure based on one or more physically effective principles from which a specific value (data bit) is acquired as the multiple of a unity or of a defined reference value, supplemented by its own measurement uncertainty. To test means to determine whether the acquired value including their measurement uncertainty meet one or more specified requirements (tolerances or error limits). Since measurable characteristics are acquired as value by most modern processes of material and quality testing and compared with corresponding requirements, “measuring testing” is defined as the opposite of “counting testing”.

Important measures for ensuring reproducibility of testing methods include adjustment, calibration and gauging. Round-robin tests among several test laboratories using suitable reference specimens can serve as an additional measure. Adjustment is the balancing of test equipment that must not be done by the operator of the equipment and which guarantees that measurement discrepancies will be minimal and/or that error limits will be maintained. Calibration means testing under comparable conditions and the ability to return the result to international reference values, in order to determine the true or correct measurement value while taking systematic variations into account. Gauging is a procedure in which a competent gauging office confirms that a testing or measuring device satisfies the stated requirements or regulations according to law as regards its character and measurement-technical characteristics (e.g., class of equipment). Calibration and gauging have to be repeated by the gauging office or device operator at regular intervals in order to ensure that error limits are maintained.

An essential legal basis for material testing is provided by national laws pertaining to liability for defective products. According to ISO 9000, a defect is defined as a non-conformity, i.e., the non-fulfillment of set demands. The following necessary measures for material and polymer testing derive from the product liability law:

- Use-related relevant and informative test procedures and methods must be selected,

- Design and assembly must be suited for testing and/or readily testable,
- Testing must be done by agreed upon methods with meaningful results,
- Test results must be evaluated with regard to proper intended use,
- Product and process observation, anticipatory error prevention and, if required, failure analysis.

## ■ 1.5 Compilation of Standards

DIN 820 (2014–2022)	Standardization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Part 1 (2022): Principles (Draft)</li> <li>■ Part 2 (2022): Presentation of Documents (Draft)</li> <li>■ Part 3 (2021): Terms and Definitions</li> <li>■ Part 4 (2021): Working Procedure</li> <li>■ Part 11 (2020): Presentation of Standards Concerning Safety Regulations which are VDE-Specifications or VDE-Guidelines</li> <li>■ Part 12 (2014): Guidelines for the Inclusion of Safety Aspects in Standards (ISO/IEC Guide 51: 2014)</li> </ul>
ISO 9000 (2015)	Quality Management Systems – Fundamentals and Vocabulary
ISO 9001 (2015)	Quality Management Systems – Requirements
ISO 9004 (2018)	Quality Management – Quality of an Organization – Guidance to Achieve Sustained Success
ISO/IEC 17025 (2017)	General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories

### References by Area of Specialization

#### Polymer Synthesis and Modification

- [1.1] Braun, D.; Cherdrón, H.; Rehahn, M.; Ritter, H.; Voit, B.: *Polymer Synthesis: Theory and Practice. Fundamentals, Methods, Experiments*. Springer, Berlin (2013)
- [1.2] Elias, H. G.: *Macromolecules. Volume 1, Chemical Structures and Synthesis*. Wiley VCH, Weinheim (2005)
- [1.3] Elias, H. G.: *An Introduction to Plastics*. Wiley VCH, Weinheim (2003)

- [1.4] Rempp, P.; Merrill, E. W.: *Polymer Synthesis*. Wiley VCH, Weinheim (1991)
- [1.5] Odian, G.: *Principles of Polymerization*. Wiley VCH, Weinheim (2004)

### **Polymer Characterization/Analytics**

- [1.6] Arndt, K.-F.; Müller, G.; Schröder, E.: *Polymer Characterization*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1989)
- [1.7] Wagner, M.: *Thermal Analysis in Practice – Fundamental Aspects*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2017)
- [1.8] Hamid, S. H. (Ed.): *Handbook of Polymer Degradation*. Marcel Dekker, New York Basel (2000)
- [1.9] Braun, D.: *Simple Methods for Identification of Plastics*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1999)
- [1.10] Turi, E. (Ed.): *Thermal Characterization of Polymeric Material*. Academic Press, San Diego London (1997)

### **Polymer Processing**

- [1.11] Osswald, T.; Hernandez-Ortiz, J.P.: *Polymer Processing*. Carl Hanser, Munich Cincinnati (2006)
- [1.12] Michaeli, W.; Greif, H.; Kretzschmar, G.; Ehrig, F.: *Training in Injection Molding*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2001)
- [1.13] Chung, C. I.: *Extrusion of Polymers. Theory and Practice*. 3rd Ed., Carl Hanser, Munich (2019)

### **Morphology/ Micromechanics**

- [1.14] Michler, G.H.: *Atlas of Polymer Structures. Morphology, Deformation and Fracture Structures*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2016)
- [1.15] Stellbrink, K.K.U.: *Micromechanics of Composites – Composite Properties of Fibre and Matrix Constituents*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1996)
- [1.16] Woodward, A.E.: *Understanding Polymer Morphology*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1994)
- [1.17] Michler, G.H.; Balta-Calleja, F.J.: *Nano- and Micromechanics of Polymers: Structure Modification and Improvement of Properties*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2012)

### **Polymer Material Science**

- [1.18] Menges, G.; Osswald, T.A.: *Materials Science of Polymers for Engineers*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2003)
- [1.19] Dominghaus, H.: *Plastics for Engineers: Materials – Properties – Applications*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1992)
- [1.20] Birley, A. W.; Batchelor, J.; Haworth, B.: *Physics of Plastics: Processing, Properties and Materials Engineering*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1992)
- [1.21] Ehrenstein, G.W.: *Polymeric Materials: Structure – Properties – Applications*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2001)

## Plastics Engineering

- [1.22] Erhard, G.: *Designing with Plastics*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2006)
- [1.23] Grewell, D.; Benatar, A.; Park, J. B.: *Plastics and Composites Welding Handbook*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2003)
- [1.24] Rosato, D. V.: *Designing with Reinforced Composites*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1997)
- [1.25] Rao, N. S.; Schumacher, G.: *Design Formulas for Plastic Engineers*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2004)
- [1.26] Rotheiser, J.: *Joining of Plastics – Handbook for Designers and Engineers*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2004)
- [1.27] Belofsky, H.: *Plastics: Product Design and Process Engineering*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1995)

## Quality Assurance and Management

- [1.28] Masing, W.: *Handbuch der Qualitätssicherung*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1990)
- [1.29] Keating, M.: *How to Assure Quality in Plastics*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1995)
- [1.30] Dealy, J. M.; Saucier, P. C.: *Rheology in Plastics Quality Control*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2000)
- [1.31] Gordon, M. J.: *Total Quality Process Control for Injection Molding*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1992)
- [1.32] Kerkstra, R.; Brammer, S.: *Injection Molding Advanced Troubleshooting Guide. The 4M Approach*. 2nd. Ed., Carl Hanser, Munich (2021)
- [1.33] Pfeifer, T.: *Quality Management – Strategies, Methods, Techniques*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2002)

## Polymer Diagnostics/Failure Analysis

- [1.34] Ezrin, M.: *Plastics Failure Guide – Cause and Prevention*. 2nd Ed., Carl Hanser, Munich (2013)
- [1.35] Moalli, J. (Ed.): *Plastics Failure – Analysis and Prevention*. Society of Plastics Engineers, Plastics Design Library, Norwich, NY (2001)
- [1.36] Ehrenstein, G. W.; Engel, L.; Klingele, H.; Schaper, H.: *Scanning Electron Microscopy of Plastics Failure. Rasterelektronenmikroskopie von Kunststoffschäden*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2011)

## Polymer Physics

- [1.37] Strobl, G.: *The Physics of Polymers: Concepts for Understanding their Structures and Behavior*. Springer, Berlin (1997)
- [1.38] Argon, A. S.: *The Physics of Deformation and Fracture of Polymers*. Cambridge University Press (2013)
- [1.39] Bower, D.: *An Introduction to Polymer Physics*. Cambridge University Press (2002)

### Engineering Fracture Mechanics/Materials Testing

- [1.40] Blumenauer, H. (Ed.): *Werkstoffprüfung*. Wiley VCH, Weinheim (1994)
- [1.41] Antolovich, S.: *Fundamentals of Fracture Mechanics*. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006)
- [1.42] Anderson, T.L.: *Fracture Mechanics. Fundamentals and Applications*. 3rd Ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton (2005)

### Polymer Testing

- [1.43] Brown, R. (Ed.): *Handbook of Polymer Testing: Physical Methods*. Marcel Dekker, New York Basel (1999)
- [1.44] Grellmann, W.; Bierögel, C.; Reincke, K. (Eds.): Wiki "Lexikon Kunststoffprüfung und Diagnostik", Version 12.0 (2022), [wiki.polymerservice-merseburg.de](http://wiki.polymerservice-merseburg.de)
- [1.45] Ehrenstein, G.W.; Trawiel, P.; Riedel, G.: *Thermal Analysis of Plastics - Theory and Practice*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2004)
- [1.46] Hylton, D.C.: *Understanding Plastics Testing*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2004)
- [1.47] Shah, V.: *Handbook of Plastics Testing Technology*. Wiley VCH, Weinheim (1998)
- [1.48] Swallowe, G.M.: *Mechanical Properties and Testing of Polymers - An A-Z Reference*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht Boston London (1999)

### Handbooks/Data Banks

- [1.49] Baur, E.; Osswald, T.A.; Rudolph, N. (Eds.): *Plastics Handbook - The Resource for Plastics Engineers*. Carl Hanser, Munich (2019)
- [1.50] Carlowitz, B.: *Tabellarische Übersicht über die Prüfung von Kunststoffen*. Giesel Verlag für Publizität, Isernhagen (1992)
- [1.51] Grellmann, W.; Seidler, S. (Eds.): *Mechanical and Thermomechanical Properties of Polymers*. Landolt-Börnstein. Volume VIII/6A3, Springer, Heidelberg (2014)
- [1.52] Rosato, D.V.: *Rosato's Plastics Encyclopedia and Dictionary*. Carl Hanser, Munich (1992)

### Computer-Aided Polymer Data Banks

- [1.53] Data sheets in Campus® plastics data base 5.2, [www.campusplastics.com](http://www.campusplastics.com)
- [1.54] Mbase-Material Data Center in [www.m-base.de](http://www.m-base.de) or [www.materialdatacenter.com](http://www.materialdatacenter.com)
- [1.55] Polymers: A Property Database: <https://poly.chemnetbase.com>
- [1.56] Data sheets in MatWeb-Material property data, [www.matweb.com](http://www.matweb.com)
- [1.57] Neue Materialien - Der Marktplatz der Werkstofftechnik: <http://www.neuematerialien.de>
- [1.58] Material Data Base FORMAT (data base not online available)
- [1.59] Mechanical and Thermomechanical Properties of Polymers. Springer Materials, The Landoldt-Börnstein Database: <https://materials.springer.com>

# 2

## Preparation of Specimens

### ■ 2.1 Introduction

The main tasks of polymer testing consist of the investigation, evaluation and characterization of various materials and the provision of data with their corresponding measurement uncertainty. Polymers can be tested in powder or granulate form, or as specimens, semi-finished or finished products, or component parts.

By molding material we mean un- or pre-shaped materials that are processed and shaped into semi-finished or finished products by means of mechanical loading and raised temperatures. Plastic moldings are products that can be manufactured from molding materials by compression molding, transfer molding or injection molding with subsequent cooling in completely enclosed tools [1.52, 2.1].

Characteristic chemical, physical and mechanical material values are required to identify and classify polymers for use in quality assurance, comparison and selection of materials, as well as for predicting the properties of molded parts. From this perspective, the data to be acquired create a link, however limited, between material properties and conditions of load. For a component part to be able to fulfill its function during the service life expected of it, the property profile of the material in the part must be in equilibrium with the requirement profile containing the sum of all loads acting on the part. As a rule, the component requirement profile, such as its range of loading, dimensional stability, or thermal and medial load, can be established rather precisely. By contrast, the property profile of the polymer in a particular component part depends on a variety of influencing factors. The most important of these factors are:

- Structural parameters
  - Molecular structure of the polymer (constitution, conformation, configuration)
  - Molecular weight and molecular weight distribution
  - Morphology
  - Orientation of the polymer and its fillers or reinforcing materials

- Residual stresses and their distribution
- Additives (e. g., stabilizers, coupling agents) and fillers (e. g., talcum, chalk)
- Reinforcing materials (e. g., glass, carbon, mineral and natural fibers)
- Long period and tie-molecule density
- Degree of crystallinity
- Geometric parameters
  - Shape and dimensions
  - Notches and sink marks
  - Flow and weld lines
  - Inhomogeneities (e. g., cavities, inclusions, agglomerations)
- Load parameters
  - Type of load (tension, compression, bending, multi-axial loading)
  - Duration and rate of load (creep and impact behavior)
  - Load frequency
  - Temperature and thermal spalling load
  - Environmental influences (humidity, UV radiation, etc.)

The variety and number of potential parameters underlines the necessity for precise survey of all boundary conditions. On the other hand, it also shows that only a multiparametric description of polymer properties provides a sensible approach to designing component parts and evaluating their durability. However, it also means that, instead of simple values, characteristic functions dependent on various parameters should be applied (see CAMPUS data bank [1.53] for polymers). The main reason for the limited informational value of the applied data and their limited transferability to component behavior lies in the pronounced processing sensitivity of these materials. Consequently, the material properties in the component, and the specimen as well, depend not only on the chemical composition, but are decisively influenced by prehistory, i. e., by the type and method of transition from molten to solid state during the molding process.

For testing practice, this means that values can be measured reproducibly only if they are acquired on the basis of comparable chemical and physical structure, identical geometric conditions, as well as identical testing method and even testing technology. In other words, this means that the presented values do not represent the molding material properties of the investigated material, but rather the properties of a specimen produced from this material under conditions determined by the molding procedure that is not identical with the technological properties of any molded component.



Moreover, this statement implicitly demands structure-related acquisition of material values, as well as a clear distinction between the properties of the molding material and those of the molded component.

## ■ 2.2 Testing Molding Materials

Molding materials properties are determined essentially by their chemical structure and the process used for producing them, and are thus almost completely free of influencing by geometry and prehistory. However, this is only the case when their manufacturing is not followed by extrusion with subsequent granulation involving processing additives or by the inclusion of reinforcing or filler materials.

This type of testing corresponds to an analytic task that provides both information as to chemical and physical structure of the polymer as well as characteristic rheological and processing values. These physical testing methods are not just used for analytical characterization. They also represent the determinative basis for establishing correlations between the structure of macromolecular materials, their manufacturing and processing conditions, as well as their technological properties. Among the typical industrial methods that are used in receiving inspection and provide indicative data on the structure and/or classification of polymers are: density measurement, determination of melting and glass transition temperature, incineration as well as burn and/or pyrolysis tests that may be supplemented by spectroscopic test methods such as infrared spectroscopy.

The evaluation of the processability of polymers can be performed with simple technological or more advanced rheological testing methods, depending on the specific task and the type of material. Especially significant from the standpoint of industry are analysis of particle size and measurement of viscosity or melt flow index. Due to the well-known correlation between molecular mass, molecular mass distribution, macromolecular structure and engineering properties such as strength, ductility, toughness and density, conclusions can be drawn from the characteristic values acquired as they relate to the influence of machining and molding processes on chemical degradation. More detailed information on individual testing methods and their applicability and meaningfulness for various polymers is provided in [1.6–1.9, 1.19, 1.21, 1.45, 2.1–2.3] and in Chapter 3.

Sampling procedure is of decisive importance for characterizing molding material properties, since the statistical lot removed from the specimen – generally a small amount of material – is supposed to represent the universe of properties. The precision of property characterization depends mainly on the type and method of sampling, in addition to the measurement technique used. If no suitable sample

splitter or divider is available, the total amount to be characterized has to be mixed thoroughly, after which samples have to be removed at three points sufficiently far away from the surface. Sampling at different points ought to compensate for transport and storage changes in particle size distribution, in moisture and segregation effects. If thorough mixing is not possible, such as is the case for silo storage, specimens should be taken similarly at several depth levels. Moisture measurement can then be performed online, for example using moisture sensors. By using trace moisture analyzers, the moisture of bulk materials can be controlled. A common technique for sampling granulates and powders is the so-called quartering [1.43, 2.3]. In order to expedite allocation and tracing, all characterizing features (type of polymer, sack number, filling date, type and condition of packaging, batch number, etc.) have to be documented when preparing the test report. Analogous to materials processing, pre-treatment suited to the material has to precede the test, for example, to remove any water condensation or foreign particles from storage and to obtain a defined reference condition.

## ■ 2.3 Specimen Preparation

### 2.3.1 General Remarks

Precisely specified specimens are an operational requirement for characterizing the properties of polymer molding materials by means of mechanical, thermal or electrical parameters. They must correspond to the relevant standards and meet specifications regarding the dimensions and condition of such specimens. Such specimens can be produced separately or together with a component or plastic part, or be taken from one, e.g., to investigate the property profile in the molded part or for failure analysis [1.33]. The following list provides the direct and indirect processes commonly used in forming technology:

- Direct shaping processes
  - Injection molding
  - Injection stamping
  - Compression molding
  - Casting
- Indirect shaping processes
  - Extrusion
  - Calendering

- Stamping
- Cutting

Industrial progress has also created new, combined production methods (e. g., pultrusion) that will not be presented individually. Additional processes which, however, cannot be clearly classified, include laminating, film blowing or subsequent thermal treatment (tempering).

Regardless of the type of shaping process, energy-elastic, entropy-elastic and viscous deformations peculiar to the material occur during production. These deformations are caused by shearing, e. g., during the injection and flow process, stretching and orienting of macromolecules, as well as by cooling and curing sequences in the tool. They also have a decisive effect on the subsequent internal state of the component part or specimen. Energy-elastic deformation is due to reversible changes in the conditions of oscillation and rotation of atoms and parts of the macromolecules, and is consequently time-dependent. Entropy-elastic deformations correspond to changes in entropy, i. e., the conditions of internal order, whereby translatory movements take place in chain segments at increased temperatures. These processes are reversible, albeit time and temperature dependent. Irreversible viscous deformations are caused by plastic shear in macromolecules due to shearing and/or stretching during the production process (see Chapter 4).

During the transition from the molten to the solid state, the material undergoes a specific volume shrinkage, also called process shrinkage. It has to be compensated by a corresponding oversizing of the mold design [2.4]. Shrinkage affects dimensional stability and tolerance; it is typically less pronounced in filled or reinforced materials than in the matrix materials.

Depending on the complexity of the plastic part, these various processes generally determine the uneven distribution of internal stresses (residual stress) and matrix/filler orientations in the resultant shape, as well as morphological material parameters of the polymer. Therefore, material values acquired from specimens as a rule do not reflect molding materials properties, but rather characterize the properties of a specimen that happens to be in a state determined by the circumstances of its production. Data acquisition suited to such materials thus demands fundamental information as to the state of the specimen and the test conditions selected for it.

## 2.3.2 Specimen Preparation by Direct Shaping


### 2.3.2.1 Production of Specimens from Thermoplastic Molding Materials

From the preceding presentation, we see that properties can be repeatably measured only if both the measuring methods applied and the state of the specimen are reproducible. Method-oriented test standards only define specimen geometry and dimensions in addition to test condition requirements. Since the standards for producing specimens from a particular material (product standards) consider only the basic expectations of the particular materials group, but cannot satisfy the enormous variety and range of engineering polymers, they also refer to manufacturer's guidelines. This means that the optimum parameters for materials processing are passed on to polymer processors as the know-how of molding materials producers, e.g., in the form of processing guidelines or company standards. Thus, there is no single comparable materials state, but only states determined by the influencing factors in the particular process used.

As opposed to metal materials, there is therefore no reference specimen (e.g., hardness reference plate) for polymers. Moreover, retained samples exhibit aging together with changes in materials properties. Problems occur especially when calibration is required for the precise determination of measurement uncertainty of the test result, since the internal state of the specimen is itself a component of the total compliance of the test system. The influence of residual stress on test procedure has been observed, for example, in tensile testing specifically for the  $E$  modulus. Due to the cooling process in closed tool, residual compression stress forms at the edge and residual tensile stress in the center of the specimen (Figure 2.1a). Thereby, the residual stress profile  $\sigma_r = f(y)$  spreads for example over the width of the specimen. If the stress components do not balance each other out, cracks and cavities arise in the center. If this specimen is subjected to tensile load, the resulting force generates tensile stress  $\sigma$  uniformly distributed across the width in relation to the cross-section of the specimen (cf. Section 4.3.2.1 and Eq. 4.76). In consequence thereof, particularly at very small stress values in the starting range of the stress-strain diagram, overlapping occurs between load-induced and residual stress that leads to the resulting stress distribution  $\sigma_{\text{res}}$ . Since the modulus of elasticity  $E$  is determined in the starting range of the stress-strain curve (Fig. 4.27 and Eq. 4.81), the absolute value of this materials parameter is subject to influence. In actual tests, this is illustrated by comparing the  $E$  modulus of tempered and untempered specimens. Tempering leads to a reduction of residual stress, which results in a reduced  $E$  modulus.

Orientations within the specimen affect both the  $E$  modulus as well as the characteristic values of strength and deformation, whereby significant differences can result as to tensile strength and tensile strain at break (Figure 2.1b). Comparison

of stress–strain diagrams of short glass-fiber reinforced polyamide materials produced directly by injection molding (specimen 1 in Figure 2.1b) or by injection molding of plates and subsequent shaping (specimens 2 and 3 in Figure 2.1b) shows that the tensile strength corresponding to the peak of the stress–strain curve is greatest in directly injected specimens. The cause for this is the high state of anisotropy with a high content of fibers oriented in the direction of injection molding. Orientation on the plates is less due to production conditions; however, there is a striking difference between values acquired in transverse and injection molding direction. Tensile strength and tensile strain at break exhibit inverse tendency, i.e., the specimen with the greatest tensile strength exhibits the least tensile strain at break.




**Figure 2.1** Overlapping of residual stresses  $\sigma_r$  with loading stress  $\sigma$  in the tensile test for a defined load state (a) and stress–strain diagrams of PA 6 with 30 wt.-% GF in the tensile test for injection molded specimens (1), specimens milled-out in injection molding direction (2), and specimens milled transverse to injection molding direction (3) (b)

Regardless of the type of direct shaping process (mostly injection molding), type 1A multipurpose specimen according to ISO 3167 is the specimen of preference for thermoplastic molding materials. Besides the tensile test, the plane-parallel center of this specimen can be used for very different mechanical, electrical or thermal tests (Figure 2.2). These specimens are preferred since they provide a uniform reference standard regarding orientation and residual stress (internal state), as well as identical thickness and width (external state). When specimens have to be taken from molded parts or components, it is generally impossible to remove 170 mm long specimens. In this case, proportionally reduced specimens can be prepared, whereby care must be taken to scale down the test speed and strain measuring technique (cf. Section 4.3.2).

In principle, there exist two possibilities for minimizing the effects of processing conditions or creating a defined reference standard:

- Preparation of specimens in the initial state and
- Preparation of specimens with a reference state dependent on processing conditions.

Initial state specimens should consequently be homogeneous with respect to the distribution of morphological texture, be macroscopically isotropic (without preferred orientation) and without residual stresses. This state, which can be achieved by compression molding, does not occur in actual component or molded parts.



**Figure 2.2** Multipurpose specimen according to ISO 3167 and specimens producible from it for conducting various other tests

Specimens can be produced either directly in a compression mold, or the required specimens can be cut from plates. Thanks to preheating, minimal shear effects during compression and controlled slow cooling rates, the formation of residual stresses and orientations can be almost entirely eliminated. Pressure and temperature have to be selected accordingly. In semicrystalline polymers, the cooling rate achieved also controls the degree of crystallinity, as well as the crystallite and/or spheroidal structure.

Practical experience shows that the holding temperature required should lie approx. 100 °C above the *Vicat* softening temperature (VST) of the amorphous or semicrystalline molding material involved, in order to obtain sufficient homogeni-

zation. Table 2.1 provides exemplary guidelines for compression molding specimens or plates from PS molding materials.

The plates or specimens produced under these conditions can be considered to be homogeneous as well as free from stress and orientation if, after a rest period of 30 min at approx. 150 °C, they exhibit neither shrinkage nor shape distortion including surface textures, and if the basic mechanical characterization of the tempered and untempered specimens is identical within the degree of scatter.

**Table 2.1** Guidelines for Pressure Molding Specimens and Plates from PS Molding Materials

Molding material	Molding press temperature (°C)	Molding pressure (MPa)	Preheat time without pressure (s)	Holding time with pressure (s)	Cooling time under pressure (s)
PS	190 ... 210	4.0	300	300	300
SAN	200 ... 210	4.0	300	300	300
ABS	240 ... 250	4.0	300	300	300

To produce specimens (usually multipurpose specimens according to ISO 3167) in reference state, the preferred method is injection molding using the optimized parameters of the molding materials manufacturer. The internal state arising thereby has to be characterized using measurements at this point, since the state of the specimen depends on the selected processing and mold parameters (pressure, time and temperature), as well as the type of machine used and the layout of the mold (e. g., flow distance).

Experience shows that dimensional stability and accuracy of plastic products are largely determined by cooling contraction in the mold and shrinkage of the component at increased temperatures. Contraction is a consequence of the volume contraction determined by the processing technology during transition from molten to solid state and is compensated by appropriate mold design and draft angle [2.4]. Shrinkage of components or specimens is observed as a result of orientation-related relaxation of the molecules during heating. Its result is a change in macroscopic dimension and length depending on the processing conditions selected [2.5] that cause an entropically unfavorable state compared to the initial state. The following effects are responsible for this change of state:

- Degree of plastic deformation, e. g., during deep-drawing or extrusion,
- Thickness differences that lead to, e. g., residual stresses and flow lines from heating and cooling during the injection molding process,
- Orientations that arise during, e. g., extrusion or injection molding, and lead to anisotropy of properties,
- Surface textures and rough spots, as well as
- Notch stresses and static weld lines.

Due to the altered physical structure, i. e., molecular and/or filler or reinforcement orientations, as well as residual stresses in mechanically shaped, stretched or injection molded parts or specimens, shrinkage  $S$  is observed when temperatures are increased and recovery is not prevented. When the external geometry is maintained, deformation is restrained expressed as shrinkage stress  $\sigma_s$ .

The causes of both these effects are thermally induced reorientation processes due to increased molecular movement, also called memory effects, that cause a change in the entropy state. The process of free shrinking is often described as a special form of retardation (recreep), while inhibited shrinkage is also described as relaxation (residual stress relaxation). These processes can follow very different chronological sequences under identical conditions (temperature, pressure). During both thermal stress analysis and thermal strain analysis, an overlapping heat strain is observed that has to be considered in the interpretation of experimental results [2.6].

The measure for reversible frozen deformation is the entropy-elastic strain  $\varepsilon_e$  measured in the shrinkage test:

$$\varepsilon_e = \frac{\Delta L}{L_0} = \frac{L - L_0}{L_0} \quad (2.1)$$

whereby  $L$  is the actual length at temperature  $T$ , and  $L_0$  corresponds to the length of the reoriented state. Shrinkage  $S$  that tries to achieve the unoriented state beginning at the initial length  $L_a$ , is determined by Equation 2.2:

$$S = \frac{\Delta L}{L_a} = \frac{L_a - L}{L_a} \quad (2.2)$$

Between the entropy-elastic initial strain  $\varepsilon_{ea}$  determined by the shaping process and total shrinkage  $S_e$  in the unoriented state there exists the relation

$$\varepsilon_{ea} = \frac{S_e}{1 - S_e} \quad (2.3)$$

Under the condition of hindered shrinkage, the measured shrinking force  $F_s$  can be expressed as shrinkage stress  $\sigma_s$ :

$$\sigma_s = \frac{F_s}{A_0} \quad (2.4)$$


$$\sigma_s = \sigma_s(T_g) \frac{T}{T_g} \quad (2.5)$$

whereby  $A_0$  is the initial cross-section area of the specimen,  $T$  the actual temperature,  $T_g$  the glass transition temperature and  $\sigma_s(T_g)$  the shrinkage stress frozen at glass transition temperature. Given these assumptions and comparable specimens that may be taken from, e. g., multipurpose specimens, specimen shrinkage for the particular reference state can be determined in a heating cabinet or temperature



chamber, with postheat treatment temperature depending on the molding material. For amorphous thermoplastic polymers, the temperature ought to lie approx. 20 °C above VST and act for approx. 120 min. If in these tests, an approximately constant shrinkage  $S$  or entropy-elastic strain  $\varepsilon_e$  result, it can be assumed that a comparable and reproducible reference state has been reached.


Figure 2.3 illustrates the result of a shrinkage test on biaxial stretched PP film with a thickness of 30  $\mu\text{m}$  for continuous warming at 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. It can be seen that shrinkage on the film mechanically loaded in the main orientation direction begins at decidedly higher temperatures. This behavior is caused by stronger stretching of the molecules in the main orientation direction compared to the cross-sectional direction with its accompanying higher proportion of secondary valence bonding. A cast film that is produced practically without any orientation shows continuous lengthening with increasing temperature, exhibiting linear thermal expansion behavior.



**Figure 2.3** Shrinkage of a biaxial stretched PP film in the direction of orientation and transverse to orientation compared with an unoriented PP cast film

Shrinkage-temperature diagrams for the films investigated are presented in Figure 2.4. The varying anisotropy (orientation) of the specimens generated by their different processing conditions can be seen in the curve progression and in the temperature levels at transition. With increasing temperature, the measured force decreases dependent on the degree of orientation. This decrease is caused by a reduction of the  $E$  modulus at increasing test temperature under *Hooke's* law. Transverse to orientation, shrinkage starts at approx. 90 °C and in the direction of orientation it begins at approx. 120 °C. In contrast to biaxial stretched films, cast films do not exhibit shrinkage phenomena.

The shrinkage test is sensible to the deformation kinetics of the production process and illustrates the changes in shape stability and shape distortion tendency caused by increased temperatures. Both tests permit conclusions to be drawn as to the state of molecular network, transformation phenomena and technically relevant limiting temperatures. For the interpretation of the measurement results, the influence of specimen thickness, thermal expansion coefficient (thermal expansion) and heat conductivity always have to be considered.



**Figure 2.4** Shrink force  $F_s$  dependent on temperature at constant 0.1 % strain on a biaxial stretched PP film and a PP cast film

### 2.3.2.2 Production of Specimens from Thermosetting Molding Materials

Thermosetting specimens can be produced by compression molding (e.g., melamine-formaldehyde resin, aminoplastics and phenoplastics) or by casting (polyester and epoxy resins).

In compression molding, the molding material is generally cast directly into the die without prior conditioning, pre-drying or pre-heating, and shaped into specimens or semi-finished products under the effect of compression and the required temperature. In order to secure isotropic properties, the material specific pressure and the die temperature have to remain constant throughout curing time. During mold filling, the charge must be precisely metered, taking shrinkage effects into consideration, in order to fill the mold completely. Mold lubricants can only be used to expedite demolding if they have no influence on component or molded part properties. When parts with complex geometrical shapes are produced, it should be noted that especially the bottom sides are subject to increased thermal load due to the filling, compacting and heating sequence. To ensure identification of the

orientation of the specimen in the die, the die should be marked on the inside. To avoid problems or destruction of the compression molded part, the finished part should be removed from the tool no later than 30 s after opening. If shape distortion or warping has taken place, e.g., due to shrinkage, storage under plane load can be undertaken until cooling is complete. To avoid excessive cooling rates, the loading weights should have low heat conductivity. If the molds do not close precisely, flash can occur on the part's surface, which can be subsequently carefully removed, as long as visual scratches do not result. Prior to the particular test, the specimens produced have to be stored in standard climate according to valid product standards for a sufficient duration of time, or at least 16 h.

For the preparation of specimens from casting resins, there are two principally different methods: direct casting of specimens, or cutting specimens to shape from cast plate. Essential criteria to be observed for casting resins include the technology prescribed by the manufacturer as it relates to the mix ratio of resin and curing agent, or curing agent and accelerators, as well as pot life (time until gel). Molds that open on one side and that can be made from any number of materials, depending on the length of use, are utilized to produce specimens. If only a few specimens are required, a silicon or Teflon mold can be used; otherwise steel or brass molds should be used. For optimum demolding, these molds should be sealed with silicon coating; prior to casting, additional spraying with silicon oil film (epoxy resins) is recommended. If unsaturated polyester resins (UP resin) is involved, a 1 % solution of hard paraffin in carbon tetrachloride should be used instead as releasing agent. Sufficient practical experience is required to cast specimens of this type in order to produce them without gas bubbles or flash, and at the same time with good surface quality. Care must be taken with the mixing method, since tiny gas bubbles can easily become mixed in and thereby generate porosity which is not easily eliminated. If resin system pot life permits it, vacuum storage can at least reduce such porosity. However, if the resins are mixed with fillers and then stored in this manner, separation and segregation effects are to be expected and can only be eliminated by further mixing. For the characterization of pure resins, usually tensile and bending test, shrinkage determination and heat distortion stability are used besides their chemical properties. For this reason, the required number of specimen geometries is small. Shrinkage or defective surface quality requires that the specimens be machined by sawing and/or milling, whereby the cutting surface should be heated up as little as possible.

For reinforced or filled specimens (laminates, glop top) produced by prepreg processes, pultrusion or lay-up molding, special instructions have to be followed, since these composites react very sensitively to scratches or thickness variations. Depending on the standard, these specimens should be equipped with cap strips or fixing holes in the shoulder range in order to facilitate fracture in the plane parallel part of the specimen. Further information on preparation and test procedure for these materials is presented in Chapter 10.

### 2.3.2.3 Production of Specimens from Elastomeric Materials

In order to acquire physical-mechanical values for vulcanized elastomers, specimens can be produced by cutting or punching them from rubber or rubber-fabric plates, as well as from finished parts. Low-temperature cutting is an alternative to these two production processes, but can only be recommended for very small specimen geometries. There are standardized preferred thicknesses for macroscopic specimens depending on the type of test to be performed:

$0.5 \pm 0.05$  mm,     $1.0 \pm 0.2$  mm,     $2.0 \pm 0.2$  mm (e.g., for tensile specimens);  
 $4.0 \pm 0.2$  mm,     $6.3 \pm 0.3$  mm,     $12.5 \pm 0.5$  mm (e.g., compression set).

Independent of how specimens are produced (cutting, punching), it is not permitted to produce specimens from plate stacks, since plate deformation occurs with increasing cutting depth. If the processing direction (rolling or calendering) of the plates is known, specimens should be preferably cut in this direction. If data on anisotropy are desired, additional specimens can be prepared and tested transverse to the processing direction. The quality of the specimens produced depends mainly on the condition of the cutting edge or punch, especially its sharpness. Damaged knives or punches must be disposed of, since the specimens produced by them probably exhibit notches, flash or serrations that can decisively influence the quality of the material values measured. Precise specimen preparation requires that the cutting machine (slicer) and/or punch template (punch press) be precisely positioned in the direction of cutting or punching. To avoid mechanical damage to the cutting equipment, suitable cardboard or PVC backing, but never rubber, should be used.

When plates are taken from finished parts with non-standard component thickness, it is permissible in principle to grind them to the desired shape or surface finish. However, the specimens should not be taken from the plate until after such machining. If they are to be ground, care must be taken that specimens are not heated to more than 60 °C; this can be achieved by using low grinding speeds (10 to 30 m s<sup>-1</sup>) and grinding media with medium grain size. Tests using these specimens should be performed generally not sooner than 16 h subsequent to preparation and not later than 30 days after vulcanization. However, these requirements may vary depending on the particular elastomer and its conditions of use.

The same requirements apply for the preparation of specimens from plates of plasticized PVC (PVC-P) as for specimens from elastomeric materials.

### 2.3.3 Specimen Preparation by Indirect Shaping

By indirect shaping we mean the obtaining of specimens by cutting them from finished larger injection molded, extruded or compression molded plates or component parts. The most important cutting methods for doing so include sawing, milling, turning, grinding, boring and planing. However, the following aspects also need to be considered:

- Standardized specimens (multipurpose specimens) usually can only be produced from flat semi-finished products, whereby it is necessary to clearly mark the preferred direction of reference.
- Geometrically complex parts only rarely allow the preparation of specimens.
- Subsequent to removal and machining, the internal state of the specimen no longer stands in clear relation to the internal state of the component part (exposure or reduction of residual stress).
- Cutting shaping and the thermal load induced can additionally affect the test result.

To secure a low level of measurement uncertainty and to avoid unacceptably high measurement dispersion, fundamental aspects have to be observed in the indirect production of specimens. In case the semi-finished parts exhibit greater thickness than the required standardized specimens, these should be used without additional machining. Only in special cases is the removal of thickness to standardized values permissible; however, a minimum thickness of 1.5 mm must be maintained. When the direction of injection, rolling or flow is known, specimens for determining anisotropy of properties have to be taken length- and crosswise. When the main orientation direction is unknown, process-related anisotropy can be qualitatively determined with the help of the shrinkage test.

To ensure efficient shaping, suitable hardware should be provided (band and circular saws, as well as milling machines) while complying with the guidelines for saw blades and milling tools suitable for machining thermoplastics or thermosets. Generally speaking, all of the above mechanical machining methods can be applied to these various materials (see Table 2.2). However, with filled or, e.g., glass-fiber reinforced materials, increased tool wear is to be expected. Blunt tools always have to be replaced, since sawing or milling with such tools results in increased thermal load and/or makes it impossible to maintain specified geometries (notch tip radius). If machining is done in several steps (sawing of strips to be subsequently milled), e.g., when making dumbbell specimens, the influence of milling tool diameter on the quality of lateral edges has to be considered. Regardless of the type of milling, e.g., with a milling template or CNC (computerized numerical control) mill, cutting quality rises with the diameter of the milling head. From flexible and relatively soft polymers, specimens can also be taken by stamping. However, prac-

tical experience shows that the worst results with high measurement variance have been recorded with this method.

**Table 2.2** Indirect Shaping Methods and Selected Production Conditions  
( $v$  – Cutting Rate,  $s$  – Feed Rate)

Process	Cutting tool	Thermosets		Thermoplastics	
		$v$ (m min <sup>-1</sup> )	$s$ (mm)	$v$ (m min <sup>-1</sup> )	$s$ (mm)
Turning	High-speed steel	80–100	0.3–0.5	600–800	0.2–0.4
	Hard metal	100–200	0.1–0.3		
Milling	High-speed steel	40–50	0.5–0.8	30–45	0.3–0.8
	Hard metal	200–1,000		200–400	0.2...0.5
Boring	High-speed steel	70–90	0.2–0.4	30–40	0.2–0.4
	Hard metal	90–120		40–70	
Sawing	Band saw	1,500–2,000	manually	1,000	manually
	Circular saw	2,500–3,000		3,000–4,000	
Grinding	Corundum wheel	1,800–2,000	–	500–1,500	–

A relatively new, cost-intensive method is water-jet cutting capable of producing nearly any shape specimen in excellent quality [2.7].

It can be fundamentally stated that the occurrence of microcracks and notches directly correlates to the condition of mechanical tools and cutting surfaces, so that these can decidedly affect the level of properties and dispersion of measurement results. For optimum results, the following aspects have to be attended to:

- Chips formed during the machining process should be “cold” and smooth.
- Polymers with low heat conductivity have to be machined at low cutting rates and with additional cooling (compressed air or water).
- Superficial softening at the cutting surfaces due to frictional heat from blunt tools can cause stress during cooling.
- High cutting rates usually provide the best surface quality at low feed rates.
- Subsequent machining by grinding or polishing should always be done parallel to the longitudinal axis of the specimen.

Additional information regarding the machining of polymers can be found in ISO 2818 as well as in [1.13] and [1.34].

### 2.3.4 Characterization of Specimen State

In principle, any physical-mechanical methodology developed for testing polymers and their composites is technically suited for determining and evaluating the state

of specimens. Microscopic and electron-microscopic methods including image analysis are traditionally of special importance, since they provide a visual image of structural parameters. On the other hand, spectroscopic and non-destructive test methods, e.g., measurement of residual stress [2.8, 2.9] or the description of molecule and fiber orientation and anisotropy [2.10–2.12] are gaining in practical relevance and acceptance, since they function free of contact and can be utilized for components (see Chapter 8). Regardless of the type of measurement technology and the working principle applied, the test method selected has to have sufficient sensitivity to record the relevant structural or morphological parameters.

By structure, we mean a collective concept for the chemical and physical regularities in the make-up of polymers involving not only aspects of each single molecule, but also the formation of molecule aggregations in amorphous and semicrystalline state (morphology), as well as changes due to processing. For thermoplastic polymers, it can be assumed that the chemical properties specific to the material alter only minimally due to processing, whereas the property level of thermosets and elastomers is strongly influenced by chemical crosslinking reaction. However, in all polymers the type and method of shaping decisively affects the shaped physical structure, which can be described by morphology, orientation and residual stress.

By morphology, we mean the totality of supermolecular structures reaching from the smallest details in the nm-range up to several hundred  $\mu\text{m}$ . Size, shape and arrangement, as well as quantity ratio depend on the particular interactions and represent characteristic values for the specific polymer. Corresponding to the spatial extension of such structural elements and their stability with regard to mechanical and thermal loads, we can distinguish between micro and macro morphology. For this reason the respective defect mechanisms are subdivided into micro- and macrodamage. Beyond that, the definition of morphology has to be extended, since not all polymers are used as pure matrix materials, but rather as blends, i.e., filled or reinforced materials systems for strengthening, stiffening and toughening designer applications. This extension includes, among other things, the spatial distribution and density of fillers or reinforcements, the arrangement of additional phases, e.g., in the form of co-continuous phase distributions and core-shell structures, as well as nanoparticle filled and nanostructured polymers.

Due to thermal shaping with accompanying shearing and laminar flow in the mold, anisotropies with defined preferred directions arise that are also called orientations. By orientation, we therefore mean the alignment of structural elements with molecular, supermolecular or colloidal dimensions relative to the main axis of a specimen or component.

During the processing of plastics parts in molds that are closed on all sides, internal stresses arise from the volume contraction occurring during cooling; these are called residual stress. The energy-elastic deformation of molecule bonds responsible for this are irreversible without thermal and/or mechanical load, because the

laws of thermodynamics do not allow them to restore themselves by relaxing. However, these inherent stresses can be released by subsequent thermal treatment once the corresponding energy threshold has been exceeded. The resulting internal forces and moments are in equilibrium, so that the material appears to be free of residual stress. Therefore, residual stresses are not genuine structural parameters, but rather the consequence of changes in real polymer structure due to processing technique. On the other hand, it is of great practical relevance when designing and dimensioning molded components to know the internal, frozen state of polymer stress. This is especially the case with regard to the generation of stress cracking and plastic deformation due to local exceeding of the yield point with resulting impairment of mechanical strength. Due to the various accessibility for different measurement technologies (X-ray residual stress analysis or shrinkage measurement), it makes sense to distinguish between micro- and macroscopic residual stress.

Table 2.3 summarizes various parameters for describing and methods of characterizing specimen state without claiming to include all possibilities.

**Table 2.3** Overview of Processes and Methods for Determining Specimen State (○ Suitability Limited; ● Suitable)

Morphology/ Structural parameter Test method	Orientation	Degree of crystallinity	Spherulite distribution	Fiber/Filler distribution	Fiber/Filler orientation	Residual stress
Light microscopy				●	●	
Polarization microscopy	●		●			○
Electron microscopy	○			○	○	
X-ray methods	●	●	○	○	○	●
Radiography				●	●	
Differential scanning calorimetry		●				
Density measurement	○	●		○		
Ultrasonic test method	●			○	○	○
Measurement of anisotropy	●		○	○		
Shrinkage measurement	○					○
Shrinkage force measurement	●					○
Dissection method						●
Stress crack testing	○					○
Laser holography						●
Microwave technique	○			●	●	
Thermography				○	○	



The testing methods conforming to the definitions listed above can be divided into the following groups:

- Methods relating change in physical properties to the internal state of the specimen (e.g., birefringence, density, heat conductivity)
- Methods correlating change of a property affected by application technology with internal state (e.g., directional dependence of *Knoop* hardness, yield stress)
- Testing methods based on degradation effects on internal state (e.g., shrinkage, relaxation by dissection)

Non-destructive methods based on physical working principle and wave length (e.g., X-ray refractometry, ultrasonic testing) that generate measurable interactions at internal interfaces.

In actual testing practice, problems with measurement technology often arise due to the complexity of internal state and overlapping interaction. They can complicate any precise analysis of complex components considerably, or sometimes even render it impossible.

## ■ 2.4 Specimen Preparation and Conditioning

In order to ensure the reproducibility of test results, not only specified production of specimens and sufficient uniformity of test climate (temperature and humidity) have to be guaranteed, but also the moisture content of the specimen. The reason for this is that for polymers the characteristic value levels change at even small variations in loading rate and other test conditions, such as environmental temperature or humidity [2.13]. Therefore, so-called standard atmospheres that satisfy average climatic conditions, thus simulating conditions in actual practice, have been defined as testing conditions. Standard atmosphere according to ISO 291 with an air temperature of 23 °C and relative humidity of 50 % (designation: 23/50 rh) has to be used for normalizing specimens and performing tests to characterize materials properties at room temperature (RT). Two different classes of standard atmospheres are stated in this standard, corresponding to different ranges of deviation. Class 1 limits temperature deviation to  $\pm 1$  °C and relative atmospheric humidity to  $\pm 5$  %; class 2 limits temperature deviation to  $\pm 2$  °C and relative humidity deviation to  $\pm 10$  %.

The simplest, but also most expensive method for guaranteeing constant testing climate is to climatize the entire space including the testing equipment by a suitable system. Other technical preconditions for climatizing include the absence of additional heat sources, such as drying cabinets, tempering equipment, etc., and that appropriate measures are taken to neutralize the effect of sunshine.

Climatized testing facilities are an indispensable prerequisite for long-term static (e. g., creep test) or dynamic investigations (e. g., determination of fatigue strength) under standard atmosphere.

For the acquisition of characteristic data on polymers in short-time tests, it is often sufficient to adjust the specimen to the corresponding test climate. For this purpose, the specimens are conditioned to put them in equilibrium with a standard atmosphere. During conditioning, specimens assume the temperature of the surrounding air, while test duration depends on initial temperature and geometrical dimensions, especially thickness. Depending on the diffusion coefficient of the polymer, a state of equilibrium is reached between the specimen moisture content and that of the surrounding air. Duration of storage is determined mainly by the type of polymer to be tested and can vary widely for the same relative humidity.

Specimens are to be stored in normalizing atmosphere in such a way that as much of their surface as possible is subject to atmospheric influence. It is generally no problem to maintain constant storage temperature, but maintaining desired humidity levels is not as simple. The use of desiccators or environmental cabinets is a prerequisite. Table 2.4 lists achievable relative humidities at various temperatures and various saturated solutions.

In case atmospheric conditions in the test facility deviate considerably from those of normalization, testing must be performed immediately after removal of the specimen from the conditioning chamber. Taking humidity into consideration, normalization duration is approx. 88 h for standardized multipurpose specimens; if only temperature is adjusted, approx. 4 h suffice.

Special conditions are specified for specimens made from polyamide (PA), since these materials absorb more than 2 % humidity depending on the type of PA and its reinforcement or filler materials. For normalizing dry-as-molded specimens, accelerated conditioning according to ISO 1110 at 70 °C and 62 % humidity can be performed while monitoring weight gain; storage duration is graduated according to specimen thickness.

16 h is the generally assumed duration for normalizing thermosets; at least 1 h for most elastomers. In addition to the other test conditions, type and duration of normalizing and test atmosphere have to be recorded in the test protocol.

If polymers are to be characterized at temperatures deviating from standard temperature, the testing facility must have an adjoining temperature chamber, or the test equipment has to be completely accommodated in a tempering apparatus. The specimens to be investigated have to be pre-heated at each test temperature in order to obtain sufficiently constant cross-sectional temperature. To obtain sufficient air circulation, specimens have to be stored in such a way that direct surface contact is avoided. Practice shows that approx. 30 min is sufficient for multipurpose specimens with a thickness of 4 mm. If, in addition to increased or decreased

temperatures, a specified humidity level has to be maintained, an environmental chamber has to be used.

**Table 2.4** Relative Humidity Over Saturated Salt Solutions at Various Temperatures

Salt	Relative humidity in % at									
	5 °C	10 °C	15 °C	20 °C	25 °C	30 °C	35 °C	40 °C	50 °C	60 °C
Potassium hydroxide	14	13	10	9	8	7	6	6	6	–
Lithium chloride	14	14	13	12	12	12	12	11	11	10
Potassium acetate	–	21	21	22	22	22	21	20	–	–
Magnesium chloride	35	34	34	33	33	33	32	32	31	30
Potash	–	47	44	44	43	43	43	42	–	36
Magnesium nitrate	58	57	56	55	53	52	50	49	46	49
Sodium bichromate	59	58	56	55	54	52	51	50	47	–
Ammonium nitrate	–	73	69	65	62	59	55	53	47	42
Sodium nitrite	–	–	–	66	65	63	62	62	59	59
Sodium chloride	76	76	76	76	75	75	75	75	76	76
Ammonium sulfate	82	82	81	81	80	80	80	79	79	–
Potassium chloride	88	88	87	86	85	85	84	82	81	80
Potassium nitrate	96	95	94	93	92	91	89	88	85	82
Potassium sulfate	98	98	97	97	97	96	96	96	96	96

When polymers are to be used in the automobile and aerospace industries, as well as for household appliances, the changes in their characteristic values such as strength, stiffness and toughness under exposure to any number of media need to be considered. In order to determine environmental-thermal resistance, specimens are exposed to media (oil, water, detergent solution, etc.) at various temperatures, for specimens to be investigated have to be pre-heated at each test temperature in order to obtain sufficiently constant cross-sectional temperature. To obtain sufficient air circulation, specimens have to be stored in such a way that direct surface contact is avoided. Practice shows that approx. 30 min are sufficient for multipurpose specimens with a thickness of 4 mm. If, in addition to increased or decreased temperatures, a specified humidity level has to be maintained, an environmental chamber has to be used.

When polymers are to be used in the automobile and aerospace industries, as well as for household appliances, the changes in their characteristic values such as strength, stiffness and toughness under exposure to any number of media need to be considered. In order to determine environmental-thermal resistance, specimens are exposed to media (oil, water, detergent solution, etc.) at various temperatures, for example, to determine media resistance of polymers in detergent containers up to 2000 h; subsequently the value levels are compared with the initial state. Strict

# Index

## Symbole

$\delta$ - $\Delta a$  curve 242, 508

## A

Abbe refractometer 302, 305  
abrasion 178, 201  
ABS/CF composite 338  
absorbance 307  
absorptance 314  
absorption 87, 95, 248, 266, 291, 314, 432–436, 478, 482, 593, 596  
absorption coefficient 95  
acceleration load 248, 611  
acceleration voltage 434  
accreditation 9, 607  
acoustic bond-testing 468  
acoustic emission 231, 467, 484, 498–500, 629  
acoustic emission analysis 118, 500, 510  
acoustic emission test 499, 627  
acoustic emission transducer 627  
activation energy 46, 351, 400, 423  
adhesion 42, 152, 188, 202, 208, 524, 537  
adhesive energy release rate 558, 664–665  
adhesive fracture energy release rate 665  
adjustment 10  
admittance 355  
AFM topography 692, 695  
ageing 88, 105, 294, 327, 332, 340, 363–364, 605, 622, 643  
ageing process 397, 606  
alternating current conductivity 353  
alternative span test 617  
Amici-Bertrand lens 311, 328  
amplitude 89, 249–251, 306, 357, 360, 432, 447, 451–455, 460, 464, 474, 480, 485  
amplitude reflection coefficient 456  
angle of diffraction 301  
angle of scatter 438

angle specimen 124  
angular frequency 68, 88, 334, 357–359  
anisotropic fiber composite 527  
anisotropic material 129, 139, 183, 305–307, 520  
anisotropy 7, 21–25, 28–32, 181, 298, 307–310, 328, 451–452, 459, 464, 483, 515, 605  
anisotropy change 308–311  
anisotropy state 310–311  
application temperature 272, 403, 573  
aramid-fiber 522  
Arrhenius equation 46, 79, 351, 424, 606, 622  
A-scan 458, 461  
ashing 604  
atomic force microscopy 693–695  
atomic number 434–436  
attenuated total reflexion ATR 326  
attenuation coefficient 432  
auto-ignition 578  
auto-ignition temperature 580  
automated bridges 355  
Avogadro number 350  
axial moment of inertia 127  
axial shear strength 622

## B

Bagley diagram 60  
ball indentation hardness 179, 182–184, 187  
Barcol hardness 179, 184–185, 218, 605  
Barus effect 59  
basic dispersion 305  
Becke line 303  
Begley and Landes 246, 251–252  
Bell telephone test 389  
bending moment 128, 135, 159, 175, 534–536, 550, 570  
bend test 133, 138, 507, 534, 549  
bent strip method 387  
Bingham body 45  
biocorrosion 625, 630

- birefringence 33, 193, 305–309, 312, 318, 459  
 bitumen 292  
 blunting line 241, 508  
 Boeing compression test 7, 532  
 Boeing open-hole compression test 556  
 Boltzmann number 77–79, 350  
 Boltzmann superposition principle 82  
 branching 45, 67, 364, 399–401, 404  
 breakdown voltage 333, 362  
 bridge procedures 341  
 bright-field illumination 321  
 brightness 316, 323, 446  
 brittle-to-tough transition 147, 257, 269, 669  
   – stable 270–272  
   – unstable 258, 272  
 brooming 530  
 buckling 127, 161, 530–532, 556, 610  
 bulk density 40  
 bulk material 18, 39–42  
 burn marks 601  
 burst 486, 608, 612
- C**
- calibration 10, 20, 354, 360, 614  
 CAMPUS database 16, 149  
 capillary rheometer 48, 55, 58  
 cap strip 27, 110, 168, 524, 531–532, 538–540  
 carbon black content 275, 295, 315, 331, 353, 384, 509, 576  
 carbon fiber 454, 464, 521, 526, 530  
 carbon-fiber reinforced polymer 161, 526  
 Carreau model 44  
 casting of specimen 27  
 Celanese test method 7, 532  
 cellulose acetobutyrate 363  
 cellulose triacetate 363  
 CFC 448, 482, 525, 530  
 CFC laminate 438, 458, 466, 475, 481, 486, 548  
 C fiber 521  
 characteristic level 489  
 charge limit 332  
 charging effect 342, 362  
 Charpy configuration 144–146  
 Charpy impact strength 146, 149, 277, 385  
 Charpy impact test 144, 147–148, 230, 246, 256, 261  
 chemical active agents 386  
 chemical resistance 386, 612  
 CIE diagram 319  
 climate resistance 329  
 climate–vibration–inner pressure test 613  
 climbing drum peel test 557  
 cling test 666–667  
 clip gauge 108  
 closed-loop systems 65, 122  
 coaxial cylinder rheometers 49, 53  
 coaxial reflectometry 360  
 coefficient of friction 200, 208–210, 213, 673  
 coefficient of thermal conductivity 285  
 cohesion energy density 411  
 Cole/Cole function 348  
 Cole/Davidson function 348  
 color 299, 305, 316, 319  
 color changes 324, 330  
 color difference 319, 322, 330  
 color measurement 319  
 color recognition 322  
 combustion 291, 526, 577  
 combustion cycle 578  
 compacted apparent density 40  
 comparative stress 607, 610  
 comparative stress hypothesis 275  
 complex dielectric function 334, 344, 349, 354–356  
 complex modulus 89, 92–94  
 complex permittivity 332, 353  
 complex specific conductivity 335  
 compliance 76, 81, 88–90, 107–108, 118, 122, 131, 249, 549–550, 553  
 compliance tensor 76  
 component defect 480  
 composite strength 527  
 compression after impact test 516, 560  
 compression loading 126, 132, 176, 196, 530, 533, 541, 556  
 compression modulus 22, 77, 129  
 compression molding 15, 18, 26, 385, 600  
 compression test 125, 530–532, 556  
 compressive strain at compressive strength 132  
 compressive strength 131  
 compressive stress 127, 130, 157, 195  
 compressive stress at yield 130–131, 196  
 compressive stress–compressive strain curve 129, 176  
 compressive yield strain 131  
 Compton backscatter 436  
 conditioning 26, 33, 344, 607  
 cone calorimeter 584, 591, 594–598  
 cone-plate rheometer 50–52  
 constant current method 341–342  
 constant voltage method 341  
 constraint factor 238, 241  
 continuous-use temperatures 574  
 contour line 443–444, 447  
 cooling contraction 23, 139, 607  
 core–shell structure 31, 269  
 correspondence principle 84

- corrosion 202, 383, 395, 431, 625, 630
  - Couette measurement system 49, 54
  - coupling agent 16, 262, 265, 499, 504
  - crack blunting 241, 245–246
  - crack growth 239–245, 248, 262, 265, 271, 395–397, 401, 416, 420, 424, 508, 547
  - crack growth rate 396, 424
  - crack initiation
    - physical 241, 246, 507, 511
    - technical 241–243, 267–270
  - crack length 232, 235, 240, 262, 419, 548, 551–553, 681, 690
  - crack opening 233, 236, 255, 507–509, 546, 692
  - crack orientation 439
  - crack propagation 664
    - stable 230, 235, 241, 245, 258, 394, 424, 547, 670
    - unstable 229, 233, 237, 248, 627
  - crack propagation energy 250, 258, 261, 265, 272
  - crack propagation rate 145, 394, 397, 403, 416
  - crack resistance behavior 266
  - crack resistance (R-) curve concept 241
  - crack safety criterion 233
  - crack tip 145, 231–238, 241–245, 252, 268, 397–401, 412, 416, 423, 507–508, 520, 549–551, 694–695
  - crack tip deformation 239
  - crack-tip-opening 255
  - crack-tip-opening displacement 230, 236–238, 242, 255, 262, 265, 508
  - crack tip singularity 252
  - crack toughness 148, 230, 233, 272, 521, 546–548, 668
  - craze formation 419
  - craze front 422
  - craze growth 420
  - craze growth rate 420
  - craze length 419
  - craze mechanism 132
  - crazes 86, 102, 132, 229, 245, 389, 400–402, 419
  - craze structure 418–419
  - creep behavior 167, 171, 175, 180, 403
  - creep coefficient 619
  - creep compression curve 176
  - creep compression test 176
  - creep current resistance 333, 367
  - creep curve 169–172, 176, 416
  - creep diagram
    - flexure 176
    - tensile 170
  - creep  $J^*$ -integral 395–397
  - creep modulus 170, 176–177
  - creep modulus curve
    - bending 175
    - compression 176
    - tensile 172
  - creep rate 170
  - creep test 34, 88, 168, 617
  - creep-trace 366
  - criteria for evaluating quality 604
  - critical crack-tip-opening 237, 256, 262
  - critical strain 389, 392, 413, 501
  - critical stress-intensity factor 668
  - cross-head speed 101, 104, 111, 121, 137–139, 540, 549, 553–555, 558
  - crosslink density 77, 99, 276, 283–284
  - crosslinking 283, 291, 332, 401, 453
  - crystallinity 16, 22, 32, 100, 105, 200, 214, 284, 324, 392, 401, 405
  - C-scan 459, 462, 466, 560
  - CTOD concept 236–238, 241–243, 255, 695
  - CT specimen 234, 246, 395, 500, 687, 694
  - Curie temperature 291
  - curing 19, 284, 291, 298, 332, 450, 453, 469
  - curing state 453, 573
  - cutting shaping 29
  - cyclic loading 156, 166, 244, 473, 484, 559
- ## D
- damage 5, 28, 102, 119, 431, 483, 500, 516, 627, 654, 680, 697
  - damage area 236, 560–562
  - damage characteristic 153
  - damage kinetics 498, 575
  - damage mechanism 102, 490, 498–500
  - damage resistance 560
  - damage tolerance 520, 559–561
  - damping 284, 432, 458, 481
  - damping behavior 90
  - dampness 329, 361, 624
  - dark-field illumination 321
  - DCB specimen 547–548, 552–554
  - Debye equation 285, 453
  - Debye function 348–350
  - decomposition 283, 292–296, 578, 595
  - decomposition temperature 578–580
  - defect density 119
  - defect location 467, 490
  - defect-selective imaging 474
  - deflection-time signal 508
  - deformation area 521
  - deformation behavior 77, 101–102, 105–107, 113, 116, 119, 132, 136, 192, 499, 502–504, 509, 625, 631–633, 680–682

- deformation energy 156, 190  
 deformation field 119, 447, 505, 509, 685, 697  
 deformation mechanism 86, 102, 122, 132, 231, 422, 575  
 deformation model 263  
 deformation process 78, 86, 105, 114, 245, 386, 502, 507  
 deformation rate 45, 67, 402, 416  
 deformation state 74–76, 390, 443, 446, 509  
 deformation tensor 76  
 deformation velocity 78, 272  
 deformation work 192, 500  
 degradation behavior 295  
 delamination 137, 156, 289, 435, 449, 459, 466, 471, 476–478, 486, 516, 542, 549, 555  
 delamination length 549  
 density 33, 40, 249, 285, 288, 350, 392, 405, 434  
 density measurement 17, 32  
 DENT specimen 272, 671  
 depolarization 356  
 depolymerization 293, 385, 578  
 desorption 291  
 deviation in dimension 602  
 dichroism 320  
 dielectric loss 332, 345, 348, 489  
 dielectric loss angle 453  
 dielectric measurement technique 354, 454  
 dielectric permittivity 334, 346  
 dielectric properties 346, 359, 362  
 dielectric spectroscopy 354, 453, 489  
 dielectric susceptibility 334  
 dielectric test 231  
 dielectrometry 498, 605  
 Differential Scanning Calorimetry 32, 284, 289, 292  
 diffraction 300, 307, 328  
 diffraction index 301  
 diffraction quotient 301  
 diffuse reflectance 314–316, 323, 330  
 diffusion equilibrium 398  
 diffusion process 286, 421  
 diffusion rate 402, 417, 420, 582  
 digital gray-value correlation analysis 691  
 digital image correlation 685, 691  
 dilatational component 74  
 dimensioning 8, 32, 104, 275, 497  
 dimension test 600  
 dipole moment 350  
 disentanglement 45, 97, 399–400, 403–406, 420–422  
 dispersion 260, 300–301, 305  
 displacement dilatometer 297  
 distributed circuit method 354  
 DOW test 389  
 drawn-out length 401–403, 417  
 draw ratio 45, 74  
 drop test 256, 611, 653–655  
 D-Scan 459–461, 466  
 Dugdale crack model 236  
 dumbbell specimen 105, 109, 120, 151, 160, 168, 398, 409, 645  
 dynamic heat transport 477  
 dynamic–mechanical analysis 87–88, 91  
 dynamic–mechanical–thermal analysis 89, 284  
 dynamic tear testing 651  
 Dynamic viscosity 58  
 Dynstat configuration 144
- ## E
- ease of extinction 592  
 edge delamination test 555  
 edge delamination toughness of a laminate 555  
 effective crack length 262  
 eigenfrequency 91, 432, 488  
 elastic and plastic component 189  
 elastic behavior 75, 188  
 elastic deformation 101, 111, 119, 122, 171  
 elastic indentation modulus 192  
 elasticity tensor 76  
 elastic modulus  
 – bend test 535, 549, 576  
 – compression test 129  
 – dynamic 576  
 – quasi-static 103  
 – tensile test 103, 110, 644  
 elastic–plastic fracture mechanics (EPFM) 230–232, 243, 277, 668  
 elastic wave 285, 288, 456, 467, 471, 475, 484–485, 489  
 elastomers 28, 31, 34, 75, 96, 124–126, 178, 266, 272, 510, 624, 630  
 electrical conductivity 331–332, 336, 364, 449, 454, 480, 483  
 electrical field strength 333, 336, 364  
 electric arc resistance 333  
 electric resistance 336  
 electric strength 333, 362–364  
 electrode arrangement 337–339  
 electrode polarization 333, 344–346  
 electromagnetic wave 359, 433, 480  
 electronic speckle-pattern interferometry 119, 444–448  
 electrostatic charge 332, 342, 361–362  
 elongational viscosity 43–45, 68, 78  
 elongation without necking 121–123  
 emission coefficient 449, 482

- emissivity 500
- energy balance 249
- energy elasticity 75–77
- energy release rate 240, 263, 277, 520, 546, 549–550, 555–557, 664–665
- energy release rates mode I and II 552
- enthalpy change 590
- entropy elasticity 77, 97
- entropy-elastic strain 24
- environmental stress cracking resistance 7, 133, 383, 386–387, 391–393, 397, 401, 404–406, 412, 422, 575
- EP/CF composite 554, 562
- E/P copolymer 196, 266
- EPDM 268, 295
- EP/GF composite 321, 538, 554
- epoxy resin 26, 117, 308, 352, 520, 572, 684, 687
- Erichsen hardness test bar 186
- essential work of fracture method (EWF) 668, 672
- Euler stability 127
- extensional rheometer 65
- extinction coefficient 599
- extrusion meter 64
- Eyring equation 86
  
- F**
- fadeometer 329
- failure probability 491
- falling dart tester 648
- Faraday effect 306
- fatigue 156, 162, 165, 202
- fatigue fracture 611, 682
- fatigue limit 165
- fatigue strength 34, 157, 162, 166
- fatigue test 158–164
- FE mesh 252
- fiber bridging effect 521
- fiber composite 6, 101, 133, 164, 515, 520, 526, 530, 533, 546, 554
- fiber–matrix coupling 504, 528
- fiber–matrix interface 263–265, 438, 456, 460, 520, 559
- fiber orientation 31, 200, 299, 321, 438, 451, 455, 459, 464, 473, 483, 519, 532, 540, 559
- fiber pull-out 263–265
- fiber-reinforced plastics 106, 110, 128, 161
- fiber-reinforced polymers 156, 166, 327, 572, 600
- fiber volume content 209, 262, 515, 526, 574
- fibril 400, 418–419
- filler 16, 32, 104, 208, 245, 250, 258, 275, 298
- filler content 200, 209, 259, 298, 574
- fill factor 40
- film testing 643, 647–649, 652
- film thickness 312, 440, 506, 646–648, 670–672
- final charge 332, 362
- finished parts 27, 319, 387, 392, 450, 468, 600
- finite element method 231, 252, 276, 679
- fire behavior 577, 581
- fire-determining parameter 579
- fire hazard 582, 585–586, 590, 639
- fire resistance 581–583, 592, 599
- fixed-arm peel test 658–662, 665
- flame ionization detector 614
- flame spread 586–590
- flame spread test 586, 589
- flammability 581, 585, 589
- flammability test 581, 585
- flash-over 577
- flat specimen 105, 158
- flexural creep modulus 176
- flexural creep test 175, 619
- flexural fatigue test 158
- flexural loading 133, 167, 175, 536
- flexural modulus 534, 549, 576
- flexural stiffness 135, 150
- flexural strain at break 142, 175
- flexural strain at flexural strength 142
- flexural strength 140, 571, 618
- flexural stress at break 141, 534
- flexural stress-peripheral fiber strain 140–142, 176
- flexural vibration 93, 231
- flexural vibration-resonance-curve method 93
- flowability 43, 574
- flow curve 44
- flow rate 55, 417, 489
- fluid chamber 395
- forced vibration 89, 156
- four-beam microspecimen 683
- Fourier analysis 96, 357, 479
- Fourier correlation analysis 355–359
- Fourier transformation 326, 355, 447, 468, 474, 480, 486
- Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy 326
- Fourier transform rheology 85
- four-point bend test 134, 533–535, 550
- fracture 140, 154, 229–231, 243, 274, 441, 488, 522, 525, 529, 533
- fracture mechanics characterization 668
- fracture mechanics concepts 230, 247, 251
- fracture mechanics testing 229, 394
- fracture mechanics values 255, 264, 268, 668, 689
- fracture mirror length 235, 262



- fracture process 230, 236, 239, 255, 266, 499, 668, 690
- fracture surface 236, 239, 242, 265, 508, 528, 536, 604
- fracture toughness (indentation test) 690
- fracture toughness measurements 229
- free-falling dart test 10, 144, 152, 653
- freely damped vibration 89
- free volume 47, 79, 298, 352
- frequency 16, 68, 87, 93, 156, 186, 305, 325, 331, 334, 345, 353, 357, 360, 366, 453, 482, 511, 605, 611, 626
- frequency range 89, 94, 98, 247, 347, 354–355, 359, 453, 475, 480
- frequency response analysis 355
- frequency response analyzer 358
- frequency response monitoring 249
- frictional heat 30, 201
- friction and wear 198, 208
- functionality 167, 203, 521, 625–626, 630–634, 679, 682, 688
- functional test 600
- G**
- gas emission 284, 613
- gauging 10
- geometry criteria 268, 687
- CTOD 238
  - J-integral 241
  - LEFM 235, 254
- GFC laminate 462–464, 548
- GFC part 453
- GFRP specimen 604
- glass-fiber content 262, 453, 505, 574
- glass transition 97, 290, 297, 351, 364, 415, 569, 576
- glass transition temperature 17, 24, 78, 84, 210, 284, 303, 351, 414
- gloss 299, 315
- gloss difference 601
- gloss height 316
- gloss measurement 317
- glued joint 468, 489, 547, 553
- H**
- Hagen-Poiseuille relation 56
- hairline crack 601
- hardness 178
- hardness values 180, 184–188, 195–197, 673
- harmonic oscillation 474, 477
- Havriliak/Negami equation 349
- haze 300, 314–315, 319, 324
- haze dimension 324
- heat capacity 284, 290–292
- heat conductivity equation 285
- heat distortion resistance 133, 192, 569, 573–575
- heat distortion temperature 22, 569–572, 575–576
  - Vicat softening temperature 22, 570–575
- heat flow 284, 287, 290, 621
- heat-flow method 500
- heat flux 478, 483, 579, 583, 587, 591, 596
- heat-flux calorimetry 289
- heat-flux density amplitude 480
- heat-flux thermography 478
- heating rate 292, 297, 570
- heat penetration coefficient 286
- heat release rate 584, 589–591, 595–597
- heat source 577, 580, 583–585, 590
- heat transfer 285, 571, 580
- heat transfer coefficient 286
- heat transmittance 286
- coefficient of heat transmittance 286
- hemp-epoxy resin laminate 598
- Hencky strain 45, 74
- Hertzian stress 106
- hiding power 300, 314, 322
- high-pressure capillary rheometer 55, 58
- hole formation 509
- holography 443
- Hooke's law 75, 84, 101, 111, 137, 456
- Hopkinson pressure bar 559
- hot-storage test 603
- hot-tack test 662
- humidity 5, 16, 33, 88, 113, 205, 294, 329, 339, 342, 361, 387
- hybrid methods of polymer diagnostics 5, 103, 497, 500–502, 506, 575
- hydrostatic creep rupture diagram 609
- hydrostatic pressure 57
- hydrostatic rupture strength 607–609
- hydrostatic rupture test 610
- I**
- identification of polymers 292, 325
- ignitability 583–585
- ignition 199, 361, 577, 580
- ignition flame 585
- ignition source 577, 580, 583, 587
- ignition temperature 577, 580, 587
- IITRI method 7, 533
- image sharpness 323
- immersion method 301
- impact behavior 649

- impact damage 439, 458, 469, 477, 482
  - impact energy 148, 151, 248, 256, 468, 522, 562, 655
  - impact-failure energy 153
  - impact load 143, 150, 153, 192, 196, 237, 246, 254, 259, 266, 510, 521, 561, 605, 633, 648
  - impact load–deformation behavior
    - rib cartilage 633
  - impact loading 143, 150, 261, 510, 521, 559–561
  - impact strength 146–147
    - Charpy 145–149, 261, 267, 276, 385
  - impact tester 144, 150–152, 246
  - impact velocity 250–251, 257, 272
  - impedance 179, 186, 249, 355–360, 456, 462, 468, 480
  - Impedance analysis 355
  - impedance bridge 358
  - implant testing 624–626
  - indentation depth 179–183, 187, 193, 570, 673, 689
  - indentation hardness 182, 191, 196
  - indentation modulus 192–194
  - indenter 178–180, 191, 197, 689
  - indirect shaping 29, 110
  - inductive strain transducers 525
  - inertial load 247–251, 510
  - inertia of base area 127
  - infrared camera 478, 500
  - infrared spectroscopy 17, 325
  - initial crack length 235, 262
  - in-plane shear 538, 546
  - in-plane shear stress state 541
  - in-plane shock waves 559
  - in-situ deformation measurement with atomic force microscopy 693
  - in-situ loading module 694
  - in-situ R curve 508
  - instrumented free-falling dart test 155, 230, 256
  - instrumented hardness measuring device 189
  - instrumented hardness test 187, 190–192, 197, 689
  - instrumented (notched) Charpy impact test 246–247, 250, 385
  - instrumented (notched) tensile-impact test 272
  - instrumented puncture impact test 154
  - instrumented puncture test 655
  - instrumented scratch test 672–675
  - insulation resistance 332, 340, 356
  - insulation resistance measurement 340
  - intensity attenuation 434
  - interface strength 527, 627–628
  - interfacial failure 627
  - interfacial surface tension 411, 414
  - interference 300, 305, 309–311, 318, 342, 443, 446–448, 455
  - interference microscopy 318
  - interferometer 445
  - interferometric methods 443
  - interlaminar crack propagation 546
  - interlaminar crack toughness 546–548
  - interlaminar fracture toughness 520, 563
  - internal angle of friction 40
  - internal friction 87
  - internal stresses 19, 31, 298, 386, 527, 680
  - internal stress states 518
  - interparticle distance 268–272, 281
  - intrinsic diffuse reflectance 315
  - IR radiation 596
  - IR spectrum 326
  - isochromatic line 308, 311
  - isoclinic line 308
  - Izod configuration 144–146
- J**
- J-integral concept 239–243, 254
  - J-integral estimation methods of
    - Begley and Landes 246, 251–252
    - Merkle and Corten 251–252
    - Rice, Paris and Merkle 246, 251
    - Sumpter and Turner 251–252
  - joint line 310, 451, 467, 504, 601, 605
  - JR-curve#r tief# 241
  - J value 240, 246, 251–252, 255–259, 262, 265, 271–273
  - J- $\Delta a$  curve 241, 266, 270
- K**
- Kirkwood/Froehlich correlation factor 350
  - Knoop hardness 180
  - Knoop indenter 179–181, 187
  - Kohlrusch/Williams/Watts function 350
  - K value 58, 273, 286
- L**
- laboratory measuring extruder 64
  - Lamb wave 463, 472
  - Lamb wave scattering 465
  - lamellae thickness 194
  - lamellae thickness distribution 193
  - laminare manufacturing 522
  - laminare theory 519
  - laser beam 243, 440, 444, 448, 502, 506
  - laser double scanner 243–244
  - laser extensometry 498, 502–504, 684

- laser-flash method 288
  - laser-generated ultrasound 465
  - laser holography 32
  - laser interferometry 119, 691
  - laser multiscanner 505–507
  - laser pulsing 287
  - laser scan microscope 441
  - laser speckle interferometry 684
  - laser technology 328
  - laser vibrometer 470, 475–477
  - lid-opening test 630–632
  - lifetime 490, 620
  - ligament 235, 268, 668–670
  - light attenuation 595–596, 599
  - light microscopy 32, 180, 312, 321, 328, 507
  - light scattering 324, 328, 384, 593
  - limited oxygen index 580
  - limiting temperature 26, 161, 606
  - linear analysis 526
  - linear-elastic deformation 167
  - linear-elastic fracture mechanic 230–231, 520, 668, 681, 695
  - linear-viscoelastic deformation 80, 101, 111
  - line projection 442
  - liquid-crystalline polymer 126, 133
  - load-controlled tensile test 122
  - load-crack-mouth-opening displacement 243
  - load cycles 158, 619
  - load-deflection curve 257, 552
  - load-deflection diagram 149, 244–245, 250, 385, 549, 633, 655, 687
    - example 385, 633
  - load-displacement diagram 245, 666
  - load-extension diagram 108, 246, 272, 416, 669
  - load-extension diagram (push-out test) 627
  - load-indentation depth curve 190–193, 690
    - example 193
  - loading rate 33, 77, 86, 229, 235, 278, 416, 509, 689
  - load-path diagram 647, 658, 674
  - load-path diagram (creep test) 619
  - load ranges for instrumented hardness tests 188
  - load-time diagram 149, 152, 272
  - load-traverse-path diagram 658–660, 664
  - load-unload curve 548
  - local deformation 107, 114, 119, 502–506, 512, 684
  - lock-in thermography 481–482, 485, 488, 495
  - logarithmic decrement 92–94
  - longitudinal stress 540
  - longitudinal wave 95, 456
  - long-term strength 608
  - long-term thermal behavior 605, 622
  - loss energy 156
  - loss factor 90, 94, 98–100, 193, 284, 345–346, 453
  - loss modulus 68, 90–92, 96–97
  - low-cycle fatigue strength 162
  - low-pressure capillary rheometer 55–57
  - lumped circuit method 354
- ## M
- maleic acid hydride 152
  - Martens hardness 187, 190
  - mass loss 295, 583, 590–594, 598
  - mass loss calorimetry 590
  - master curve 84, 99
  - material selection 106, 275, 647
  - material stiffness 665
  - maximum load 140, 154, 190, 248, 251, 260, 265, 272, 627, 631, 656, 683, 687–689
  - maximum stress 157
  - Maxwell equation 333
  - Maxwell model 80, 334
  - Maxwell/Wagner/Sillars polarization 333, 344
  - mean stress 157
  - measurement of anisotropy 32
  - mechanical harmonic distortion factor 474
  - mechanical loss angle 489
  - mechanical models 80
  - mechanical spectroscopy 87, 284, 354
  - mechanical vibrometry 468
  - melamine-formaldehyde resin 26, 117, 132, 572
  - melt elasticity 62
  - melt flow index 17, 62–65, 602–603, 607
  - melting 17, 64, 201, 283, 290–292, 346, 369, 569, 578
  - melting enthalpy 284
  - melting peak 292
  - melting temperature 284, 292, 569
  - melt mass-flow rate 62, 392, 405
  - melt volume-flow rate 63
  - memory effect 24
  - Merkle and Corten 251–252
  - MFR value 62, 392, 405
  - microcomponent 679, 682, 686, 689, 697
  - microcrack 30, 102, 131, 202, 289, 406, 439, 680–682
  - microdamage 118, 497, 501
  - micro-tensile test 682–684, 697
  - MVR value 63
- ## N
- nanoindentation test 188, 689, 697
  - neural network 212
  - Newtonian behavior 43

Newtonian equation 43  
Newtonian fluid 43–45, 54, 57  
Nicol prism 307  
non-destructive polymer testing 431  
non-linear stress–strain behavior 472  
non-linear vibrometry 475  
non-linear viscoelasticity 85  
non-Newtonian fluid 43–45  
no. of waves 432  
normalization 34  
normal stress 51, 71, 78, 89, 106, 126, 135–137, 518, 589  
normal stress coefficient 61  
normal stress difference 51, 68  
normal stress fracture 233  
notched Charpy impact strength 146–149, 261, 266, 276  
notched Charpy impact test 144, 148–151, 229, 510  
notched tensile-impact strength 151, 650  
notched tensile-impact test 150  
notch radius 147, 233, 261, 543  
notch sensitivity 147  
notch tip 238, 395, 400, 647  
number of cycles until fracture 157, 161  
number of stress cycles 159–163

## O

off-axis tensile test 538  
Ohm's law 334–336, 341, 354  
online quality assurance 311, 490  
online rheometer 64  
operating safety 490, 600, 680  
optical activity 306  
optical expansion measuring device 297  
optical extensometer 131, 525  
optical properties 299–301, 305–315, 321, 324–325, 330  
optical retardation 307  
optical stress birefringence 308  
orange peel 601  
orientation 15, 21, 25, 31, 86, 99, 105, 113, 120, 125, 129, 139, 144, 179, 188, 208, 298, 309, 320, 391, 401, 407, 438, 451–452, 455, 459, 472, 503, 519, 524, 527, 532, 540, 543, 559, 645, 652, 661, 671  
orientation polarization 333  
oscillation period 90, 248  
osmotic pressure 411  
out-of-plane impact test 559  
out-of-plane shear 546  
overload rupture 611  
oxidative degradation 291–293, 578

oxidative induction time 293–294  
oxygen consumption method 590, 596

## P

PA/CF composite 338  
PA/GF composite 161, 338  
particle filled thermoplastics 258  
particle size 268, 298, 326–328  
PB-1/GF composite 149  
PBT/GF composite 467  
PC/GF composite 460  
PEEK 117, 572  
PEEK/CF composite 554  
peel angle 558, 662–665  
peel force 658–667  
peel load 558  
peel strength 557  
peel test 557–559, 657  
PE film 646, 650, 663, 666, 670, 675  
PE-HD/NBR blend 151  
PE/iPB-1 peel film 665  
Peltier elements 483, 500  
PE/PP blend 267  
peripheral fiber strain 137, 140–143, 175, 388, 571  
permeation 419, 613  
permeation test 613–616  
permissible strain 275  
permissible stress 275, 573  
photoelastic imaging 440  
pin-impression method 387–389, 394  
plastic zone 237, 243, 262, 268, 521, 668, 690  
Poisson's ratio 76, 112, 186, 192, 443, 515, 527, 555, 685, 691, 696  
polycarbonate 408  
polyester 26–27, 117, 363, 506, 572  
polyethylene  
– high density 61, 104, 117, 143, 174, 184, 195, 207, 259, 263–265, 368, 396–398, 402–404, 424, 572, 609  
– low density 61, 67, 104, 117, 125, 143, 155, 178, 184, 195, 327, 368, 404, 572, 652  
polyethylene film 644  
polymer diagnostics/failure analysis 5, 103, 118, 497, 575  
polymer dispersion 39  
polymer/plastic component 620  
polymer processing 12, 39, 58, 62, 86, 601  
polystyrene 100, 398  
polyvinylbutyrate 98–99  
poly (vinyl chloride)  
– PVC-P 28, 114, 117, 143, 149, 183, 273, 303, 338, 572, 650

- PVC-U 117, 143, 149, 184, 338, 572, 640
- post-crystallization 105, 298
- power compensation calorimetry 289
- power density 466
- power law by Ostwald-De Waele 53
- PP/EPR blend 246, 271
- PP/GF composite 142, 265, 473, 574–575
- PPS/GF composite 299
- PP/talcum composite 574
- pregreg 27, 160, 453, 465, 522–523, 560, 600
- pressure flow–resistance curve 631
- pressure–vacuum test 616
- primary relaxation process 97
- primary valence bond 114, 283
- process shrinkage 19, 603
- production quality 573
- product liability law 10
- proof tracking index 333, 368
- propagation time 432, 447, 457, 461, 466, 482
- property limit 605
- PU foam (rigid PU foam) 616, 620–622
- pull-out 617, 620, 627
- pull-through test 630–632
- pulse-echo method 457
- pulse thermography 478–479, 486
- pulse-transmission technique 95
- punching 28, 603
- puncture impact test 152, 155, 653
- pure electrical breakdown 363
- push-out test 627–629
- PVC-C 233–238, 253, 607–609
- pyrolysis 17, 578, 583, 590, 595–597

## Q

- quality assurance 15, 63–65, 256, 292, 490, 516, 534, 537–538, 600, 606, 611
- quality features 600, 603
- quality management system 9
- quartz glass 456
- quartz tube dilatometer 297
- quasi-static test conditions 647
- quasi-static test method 101, 106

## R

- radiant heat 583, 597
- radiographic testing 434, 452
- radiography 32
- range for alternating stresses 157
- range for pulsating compressive stress 157
- rate of environmental stress cracking 418
- razor blade notch 147, 236, 243–245, 272, 509
- rear surface echo 457–458, 466

- reflection 300–302, 305–307, 314–315, 326, 360, 432, 435, 440, 481, 502, 665
- repose angle 42
- retardation behavior 81, 103, 122
- retardation mechanism 103, 116
- retardation test 174
- rheological fundamentals 43
- rheometer 47–52, 55, 58, 62, 65, 69
- Rice, Paris and Merkle 246, 251
- rise time of electronic chain 249
- Rockwell hardness 179, 182–184, 187
- roller drum peel test 557–559
- rotational factor 237–238
- rotational rheometer 48–50
- roughness 121, 128, 202, 205–207, 214, 300, 315, 318, 361

## S

- safety against fracture 233, 554
- sandbox tests 624
- sand trickling test 317–318
- sandwich compression test 533
- sandwich laminate 536
- sandwich structures 616
- scanning probe microscopy 691
- scattered light distribution 316
- scattering 197, 314, 320, 432, 436, 441, 593, 596, 599
- scattering coefficient 322, 434
- scratch hardness 185, 673
- scratch resistance 317, 672
- screw pull-out test 617–619
- seal curve 661
- Searle measuring systems 49
- secant modulus 111, 130, 140
- secondary relaxation 97, 284, 297
- secondary valence bond 25, 283
- semiconductor strain gauge 243, 247, 256
- SENB specimen 233, 237, 246, 249, 253
- SENT specimen 234
- serviceability 600–602, 605, 608
- shape change 74, 573
- shear band 86, 108, 133, 229
- shear band formation 102
- shear deformation 43–45, 422, 559, 627
- shear failure 530
- shear loading 74, 78, 537–538, 549
- shear modulus 77, 95, 515, 538, 541, 544, 618, 628, 696
- shear rate 43–47, 50, 55, 58–60, 63, 68, 78
- shear strain 74, 538–540, 543–545
- shear strength 524, 537–538, 541, 544–545, 617–619, 622, 627–628

- shear stress 43–47, 51, 54, 59, 68, 72, 79, 108, 136, 232, 534, 537–539, 543–545, 549, 627–629
- shear stress–shear strain curve 538–542
- shear tests 538, 554
  - $\pm 45^\circ$  off-axis tensile test 538
  - $10^\circ$  off-axis tensile test 540
  - Iosipescu shear test 538, 543
  - plate-twist shear test 544
  - two- and three-rail shear test 541
- shear viscosity 43–45, 52, 67–68, 78
- shear wave 459
- sheet polarizer 307
- shock test 611
- Shore hardness 179, 184
- short-beam test 137, 175, 537
- short chain-branched 401, 404
- short-fiber reinforced thermoplastics 263
- short-term test 366, 605–607, 619
- short-time test 34
- shrinkage 19, 24–29, 32, 284, 297, 573, 603, 623, 632
- shrinkage measurement 32
- shrinkage stress 24
- shunt lid pull-through test 630
- signal/noise ratio 447, 463, 479, 487, 490
- silicone resin 117, 338
- silicone-rubber 630–632
- single span girder test 617
- single-specimen technique 507–509
- single-stage flexural fatigue test 158
- skin effect 455, 480
- slenderness ratio 127–129
- slide angle 42
- sliding process 263, 400
- slosh test 615
- slow residue 602
- small angle light scattering 323, 328
- small-scale yielding 235, 262
- smoldering 577–578, 582, 593
- S–N curve 158–161, 164
- solubility parameter 411–414, 418–422
- solvent 43, 57, 289, 344, 392, 402, 406, 424, 612
- sound velocity 95, 249, 285
- spatial stress state 73
- specific conductivity 330, 335
- specific direct current conductivity 335
- specific essential work of fracture 669–672
- specific heat capacity 284, 289, 292
- specific heat conductivity 364
- specific strength 431, 625
- specific surface resistivity 332, 336, 339
- specific volume resistivity 332, 337–339
- specific wear rate 201, 205, 208–209, 212–213
- specimen geometry 105, 128, 233–235, 238, 252, 261, 342, 392, 424, 524, 528, 538, 543, 669, 681, 684, 687
- specimen preparation 15, 18, 29, 33, 342, 522, 645, 680
  - elastomers 28
  - thermoplastics 20
  - thermosets 26
- specimens configurations 586
- specimen shapes for plastics
  - 4ENF specimen 550, 554
  - angle specimen 124
  - CLS specimen 553
  - CT specimen 234, 237, 246, 395, 500, 687, 694
  - DCB specimen 547–548, 552–554
  - DENT specimen 272, 671
  - EDT specimen 555
  - ELS specimen 548, 551, 554
  - ENF specimen 549, 554
  - fatigue tester 160
  - FRMM specimen 551
  - Mini CT specimen 687
  - MMB specimen 552
  - multipurpose specimen (tensile test specimen) 130, 388, 393, 501
  - SENB specimen 233, 237–238, 246, 249, 253
  - SENT specimen 234
  - trouser specimen 647
- specimen state 30–32, 103, 109
- specimen thickness 34, 124, 151, 235, 255, 312, 326, 337, 340, 363, 399, 409, 421, 534, 539, 542, 555, 653, 668, 689
- spectral range of visible light 440
- spectral reflectance 314
- spectral transmittance 314
- spectrophotometer 314
- speed of light 440
- spherulite structure 312, 324
- stable crack growth 241, 250, 262, 265, 268–270
- stable crack propagation 230, 235, 241, 245, 258, 269, 394, 424, 547, 670
- standard climate 27
- standard color 319, 322
- standardized shear strength 628
- static pressure 624
- static testing methods 87, 98, 593, 605
- stereo-lithography techniques 625
- stereo microscope 509
- storage modulus 68, 90–94, 97–100
- strain 74, 86, 108

- strain at break 20, 103, 110, 113, 116, 122, 132, 142, 173–175, 524, 527, 617, 680, 685  
 strain at tensile strength 116, 644–646  
 strain at yield strength 644  
 strain at yield stress 116  
 strain-controlled tensile test 108, 118, 122  
 strain gauge 256, 525, 530, 535, 538–540, 543–545, 561  
 strain hardening 86, 188, 391  
 strain rate  
   – nominal 108, 120, 123  
   – true 121–122  
 strain–stress–time diagram 168  
 strain tensor 74–75  
 strain–time diagram 503  
 strength test 600, 610, 622  
 stress 71  
 stress amplitude 157–159, 163, 484  
 stress at break 114, 131, 141, 388, 398, 401, 407–408, 414, 418, 421, 534  
 stress concentration 144–145, 151, 308, 446–448, 484, 528, 532, 543, 625, 680  
 stress-controlled continuous vibration test 157  
 stress crack formation 386–392, 402, 411, 416–418, 421  
 stress cracking 32, 383–390, 398, 401–402, 406, 415, 419–423  
 stress cracking corrosion 386  
 stress cracking resistance 383, 386, 392–394, 397, 401–405, 412  
 stress cracking testing 32, 390  
 stress crack resistance 384, 387, 391, 394, 397, 401, 404–407, 412, 417  
 stress distribution 20, 128, 136–138, 440, 518  
 stress intensity factor 232, 273, 276, 395–396, 405, 419, 424, 695–696  
 stress relaxation 24, 80, 85–87, 102–103, 167, 174, 631  
 stress–strain diagram 20, 86, 103, 108, 111–113, 117–118, 170, 196, 474, 497, 503, 529  
 stress tensor 73–76, 484  
 stress–time diagram 157  
 stretch zone 238, 241, 510  
   – stretch zone height 239, 507  
   – stretch zone width 239, 242  
 strip specimen 160, 168, 645, 649, 658–660, 664, 668  
 St. Venant's principle 519  
 styrene–butadiene copolymer 100  
 styrene–butadiene rubber 274  
 sulfur content 275  
 Sumpter and Turner 251–252  
 supermolecular structure 31, 179, 193, 284, 288  
 support span 137–139, 145, 237, 251, 261, 534, 537, 571, 617  
 surface charge density 361  
 surface deformation 446, 509  
 surface fatigue 202  
 surface reflection 315  
 surface resistivity 332, 336, 339, 362  
 surface temperature 159, 478–480, 621  
 surface tension 411–415  
 surface topography 205–207, 441  
 swellability 410  
 swelling equilibrium 410, 415
- ## T
- tangential shear strength 622  
 tearing modulus 242, 266  
 tear resistance 124, 647  
 tear test 124, 647, 652  
 temperature cycles 616, 620  
 temperature cycle test 616  
 temperature dependence of toughness 247, 260, 264, 271  
 temperature modulated DSC 292  
 temperature stresses 620  
 temperature–time limit 605  
 temperature–variation method 303  
 tensile creep strength 173, 388, 402, 407–413, 422  
 tensile creep test 168, 388, 392–394, 399, 403–407, 416–418, 421  
 tensile–impact strength 151, 650  
 tensile–impact test 150, 229, 246, 272, 275, 648–650  
 tensile loading 75, 85, 98, 106, 112, 388, 406, 509, 539, 555, 650, 683  
 tensile strength 114–116, 389–391, 394, 504, 527, 530, 533, 644–647  
 tensile stress 114  
 tensile test  
   – specimens 110  
   – theoretical basis 106, 644  
 testing molding materials 17  
 testing of microcomponents 679  
 testing velocity 105, 272, 559, 576, 687  
 test speed 129, 132–134, 139, 155, 501, 631, 659–661, 667  
 thermal ageing 622–623  
 thermal breakdown 363  
 thermal conductivity 285, 364, 481, 500, 577–579, 621  
 thermal degradation 284, 293, 578  
 thermal diffusivity 286–288, 479–481, 489  
 thermal emission 500–501

thermal expansion 296, 447, 465, 471, 482, 485  
thermal expansion coefficient 26, 284, 296–299,  
518, 691  
thermal expansion number 296  
thermal properties 283, 481, 574  
thermal reliability 679  
thermal skin depth 481  
thermal stress 299  
thermal tomography 482, 487  
thermocouple method 59, 287, 591, 595, 621  
thermoelastic effect 484, 501  
thermoelastic stress analysis 484  
thermography 32, 449, 477  
– video thermography 113, 498–501  
thermogravimetric analysis 284, 294  
thermomechanical analysis 284, 296, 692  
thermooptical Analysis 284  
three-point bend test 135, 175, 252, 534,  
549–551, 570, 576  
three-rail shear test 538, 541  
tie-molecule density 16, 406  
tie-molecules 298, 407, 417  
time–temperature superposition principle 83  
time to fracture 248, 388, 392, 398, 401–408,  
418, 421  
time to ignition 583–585, 589–591  
torsional loading on thin-walled tubes 545  
torsional oscillation 91  
torsional test 545  
torsion pendulum 91–93  
torsion pendulum testing 91  
total deformation energy 190, 230, 248, 252,  
270, 656  
total transmission 324  
total work of fracture 669  
T-peel test 658–665  
TPU/ABS blends 267–268  
transient thermography 478  
transition temperature region 100  
transmission 314, 324–326, 434–436, 452, 462,  
502, 545  
transmittance 286, 314, 324  
transparency 300, 312–316, 322, 326, 444, 484  
transversal waves 433, 456  
transverse contraction 121, 445  
transverse strain 505  
trapezoidal specimen 125, 647  
tribochemical reaction 202  
tribology 200, 210  
trouser specimen 647  
Trouton viscosity 45, 78  
true strain 74, 121  
two-rail shear test 538, 541

## U

UD laminate 520, 527, 538, 541, 546  
Ulbricht globe 324  
ultrasonic amplitude 460, 485  
ultrasonic frequency 458, 487  
ultrasonic reflection 461  
ultrasonic test method 32, 560  
ultrasonic waves 89, 96, 448, 456  
ultrasound 457  
ultrasound birefringence 459  
ultrasound burst-phase thermography 486  
ultrasound lock-in thermography 485, 488  
ultrasound thermography 484  
ultrasound velocity 456  
unsaturated polyester 27, 117, 572  
unstable crack propagation 197, 229, 233, 237,  
248, 257, 266, 272, 275, 397, 627  
urea resin 117, 132, 143, 338  
UV fluorescent lamps 329

## V

vapor pressure 411  
vibrational spectroscopy 333  
vibrational test 611  
vibration excitation 89, 470  
vibration-resonance-curve method 93  
vibrometry 454, 468–470, 477, 489  
vibro-thermography 484, 500  
Vicat softening temperature 22, 569–575  
Vickers hardness 180, 195  
Vickers hardness test 180, 689  
Vickers indenter 191, 197  
video extensometry 498, 509, 684  
viscoelastic properties 80, 85, 89–91, 96–98,  
186–188, 497, 507  
viscometry 47  
viscosity 49–51, 55, 59–63, 68, 78, 401–403,  
411, 416, 421, 603  
viscosity function 61  
viscosity value 46, 63  
Vogel/Fulcher/Tammann equation 79, 351  
volume dilatometry 119  
volume resistance 336, 362  
volume resistivity 338–340

## W

water-jet cutting 30  
wave length 433–435, 444, 449, 458, 475  
wavelength variation method 301–303  
wave propagation velocity 456  
wear 198, 611



wear characteristics 203  
– specific wear rate 201, 205, 208–213  
– wear-path ratio 206  
– wear rate 205  
wear mechanisms 202  
weathering resistance 329  
weatherometer 329  
Weibull parameter 164  
weight check 603  
weld seam 154–155  
wide-angle light scattering 323  
Williams/Landel/Ferry equation 47, 84, 352  
winding technology 523  
Wöhler curve 158–159, 163  
Wöhler test 157  
Wollaston prism 319

## X

xenon-arc lamp 329, 374  
xenon tester 329  
X-ray 32, 161, 325, 433–435, 439, 458, 697  
X-ray backscatter setup 437  
X-ray refraction topogram 438  
X-ray refractometry 33, 437  
X-ray residual stress analysis 32  
X-ray tomogram 436

## Y

yield point 32, 45, 85, 113, 130–132, 136, 178,  
195–196, 201, 235, 241  
yield strain 85, 116, 131  
yield strength 115, 644  
yield stress 33, 85, 102, 114, 130, 194–198, 235,  
267, 275, 388, 419, 422, 617  
– impact 251  
– static 113, 130, 194–198, 235  
yield zone 113, 608